



OFFICE OF
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-
 RECORDER & ELECTIONS**
 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

CITY OF FOSTER CITY
MARK CHURCH
 CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &
 ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER
 15 AUG 27 AM 10:16

RECEIVED

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than August 27, 2018.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure TT for the General Election to be held on Nov 6 2018.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure TT Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person:	Harland Harrison	Phone:	[REDACTED]
Mailing Address:	[REDACTED] Belmont CA 94002		
Fax:	[REDACTED]	Email:	[REDACTED]

same person as primary argument

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
--	--	--	------------

1.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
2.	Name: Harland Harrison	Title: Chair, Libertarian Party of San Mateo County CA	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]				
	Address: [REDACTED] Belmont CA 94002					
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: 8-24-2018				
3.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
4.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
5.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

Rebuttal To Argument In Favor Of Measure TT

18 AUG 27 AM 10:10

RECEIVED

11 The Foster City Transient Occupancy Tax should not be raised; it should be abolished!

15 At best, the law is intended to tax only people who cannot vote on it.

16 Sure, the rate has not increased since 1981. Of course not. It is a percentage! The
17 government's take has already gone way up as inflation has raised the price of a room. Now,
18 they want to raise the percentage, and then, want that percentage to go up even more,
19 automatically.

11 So the Transient Occupancy Tax has no impact on Foster City residents? Really? What
12 about AirBnb? The law specifically defines that "hotels" includes "apartment houses", "mobile
13 homes", just about anything people could sleep in. (If you dare host renters in your home, be
14 careful. The penalties for violating this law are up to 6 months in jail!)

14 Proponents argue that other cities have similar taxes. And yes, they want those taxes
15 increased, too. Everybody will pay more and more as they tax each other, everybody paying
16 taxes they cannot vote on.

14 These taxes are just wrong. Tax the visitors? Tax your guests? Tax anybody but
16 yourselves? Don't tax a mansion; tax sharing a condo? Don't tax the rich; tax the homeless
17 family in a motel? How disgraceful.

13 The State of California should never have authorized this kind of taxation. Taxes should be
14 uniform, throughout California. The Foster City Transient Occupancy Tax is aimed at people
15 where they cannot vote. Make us tax them and make them tax us. This is wrong. You can
16 stop it.

4 Vote No on Measure TT

247