From:	Herb Perez
To:	Melanie Stinogel
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: August 1 hearing - Support for Pilgrim Triton Homes
Date:	Friday, July 29, 2016 8:13:10 AM

---

http://www.smdailyjournal.com/articles/lnews/2016-07-29/housing-plan-back-on-table-in-foster-city-proposal-to-swap-office-buildings-for-townhomes-faces-some-opposition/1776425165811.html

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 29, 2016, at 8:08 AM, Melanie Stinogel <mstinogel@gmail.com> wrote:

> Dear City Council members,
>
> I support the proposed homes at Pilgrim Triton Phase C property to go before the Foster City Council on August 1.
>
> This proposal for a residential community makes perfect sense. Not only does it create vitally needed home ownership housing in our community, but it will also reduce traffic, building heights and density over the currently-approved plan. As a homeowner here since 1996, I would much rather see new homeowners invested in the community instead of 800 daily commuters adding more traffic to our already overburdened roadways.
>
> What works better for the community? The already approved plan which has an 8-story commercial building and 800 employees with twice as much traffic or the new proposal that would replace this with 3-story townhomes, with only 68 homes. Many of these will be affordable to working families, including local school teachers, people with jobs at small local businesses, and public employees – getting more cars off the highway.
>
> This is worth studying and worth your support!
>
> Regards,
>
> Melanie Stinogel
> 650-740-5292

From:	Stacy Jimenez
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No on additional housing
Date:	Thursday, July 28, 2016 8:48:47 PM

Dear City Council Members:

We as a family would like to add yet one more voice to the many whom have emailed this week. We are adamantly against additional housing. We do understand the pressures to meet perceived housing and development goals set by the common plan. We understand that high density housing may be the wave of the future.

However, we hope you understand the reason we moved to Foster City - to escape living in a high density environment. We hope you understand we do not want to simply give up and move away from what may become a very crowded, high density city. Living in Foster City allows us to enjoy the best of living in a "small town environment" while still being able to access the amenities (and employment) in San Francisco. We do not want to give up on Foster City because we believe our city can and will meet the challenges these new developments bring, but we will not sit idly by and allow these new developments to push us as a city over the brink of what we can handle.

We are asking you to not allow additional housing to be built. We ask you to limit the number of units for the project under consideration to those already approved. We are not asking you to say no to future development, but we would ask that you see that development in Foster City must look different from our neighbors, who have the space to add housing, while we as a city have clearly defined spatial limitations.

I hope you will be very clear on Monday and stand by what you all have said publicly at different times - that we have reached a max and we must wait and allow the city services to catch up, allow new schools to be built, allow alternative transportation services to come online, to allow us as citizens to absorb these changes currently in progress, before we consider allowing any new housing to be built in Foster City.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

The Jimenez Family - Thaddeus, Stacy, Bella, Sophie and Kaya
--

The information in this email may be confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed only by the individual or organization named above. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email and its attachments, if any, and any use or disclosure of the information contained herein, is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by email or telephone and permanently delete this email from your system.

From:	Mike Hirabayashi
To:	Herb Perez
Cc:	Foster City City Council; Foster City Residents for Responsible Development
Subject:	Re: Pilgrim Triton Phase C rezoning application
Date:	Thursday, July 28, 2016 6:40:11 PM

Mayor Perez,

Thank you for acknowledging my input; I DO intend to be present at the meeting on August 01 (along with several of my neighbors).

As a side note: Is there any reason that my email (the one that you are acknowledging) does not seem to be included in Binder-Attachment_7-Public Comments Received After 7-23-16, linked from the webpage about the meeting on then Foster City website? That collection of emails includes at least a couple of emails that appear to have been sent later than mine...

Does that mean that my comments will not be included for consideration with regard to this meeting? If that's the case, may I ask why?

Thank you,

--

Mike Hirabayashi
107 Goldhunter Ct
Foster City, CA 94404
mhirabayashi@comcast.net

> On Jul 27, 2016, at 6:34 PM, Herb Perez <hperez@fostercity.org> wrote:
>
> Thank you for your input. As always I will continue to support our community. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
> Mayor Perez
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jul 27, 2016, at 2:44 PM, Mike Hirabayashi <mhirabayashi@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>> Firstly, let me express my appreciation to all members of the Foster City City Council and the Foster City Planning Commission — I have a tremendous amount of respect for the amount of time and effort that all of you devote to serving our city, no doubt motivated by our shared love of Foster City and your desire to keep it a wonderful place to live, now and

through the future.

That said, I would also note that there is not always a consensus of opinion as to what it takes to make things “better” — people may share the same motivation but have differing visions of what “better” is. In the context of development projects in Foster City, some interests may feel that more housing, more retail, more office space, (more tax revenue), and more residents will make Foster City a better place; others may feel the opposite.

No doubt that city council members have their own ideas of what is better for Foster City as well.

However, I would respectfully like to remind council members that as elected representatives, a major responsibility is to represent the wishes and desires of the citizens by whom you were elected. Yes, in our system of government it is absolutely true that we ask our representatives to make decisions on our behalf — and it is probably a reality that citizens often don’t invest time to adequately inform themselves about the issues to be decided (even issues that they feel strongly about) or even how the system works. But that is the trust we place in our representatives — to represent OUR voices, not just to make all the decisions for us.

With regard to the proposal to change the previously approved plan for the Pilgrim Triton Phase C project, allowing 17 residential homes, to instead allow up to 70 new homes instead, I would like to add my voice opposing this change.

The developer cites that the demand that they anticipated for retail and commercial office space has not been been realized, and implies that this miscalculation necessitates a change to allow a 411% increase in the number of housing units in order to "complete the final piece of a vibrant ownership housing community”.

Frankly, I don’t see how adding yet more housing and reducing the diversity of uses by deleting retail and office space results in a more “vibrant” community. (Have you visited the existing Pilgrim Triton projects completed so far? How many Foster City residents have you observed making use of the “park” and other amenities that development was supposed to bring to Foster City? “Vibrant” is not a word I would use to describe it...)

As to some of the other “benefits” of the change as claimed by the developer:

- "A significant traffic reduction <u>from the currently entitled</u>

use for the site" [emphasis added]: I think the developer is assuming fully occupied office space — which contradicts the developer's own argument that "commercial tenants have not shown interest". This argument is moot anyway — as an existing resident, it's clear that from its current levels, Foster City traffic will get WORSE by increasing the number of residents.

- "A significant reduction in building height": The logic seems to be that tall buildings are worse than less tall buildings... I agree — so don't build any tall buildings.
- "Accommodates and accelerates the final completion of the Pilgrim Triton Park": I don't understand how this relates to the request change — does this mean that the developer will intentionally work more slowly on completing the park unless the requested change is made? I would think that avoiding the review and civic processes made necessary by a change would result is fewer delays toward completion of the project...
- "best-in-class, high quality community which complements adjacent land uses and provides ownership housing options for residents who wish to remain in Foster City for the long term.": I have no argument for this point — it will be great for the additional residents attracted into Foster City who move into the 70 new homes. However, I don't see the added benefit to those of us who already live in Foster City...

Finally, I was under the impression that there was agreement on the City Council that there be at least a temporary moratorium on approving any new housing until the effects on traffic and school overcrowding could be observed... I understand that Pilgrim Triton Phase C is an already-approved project — but aren't the proposed additional homes by definition, "new housing"?

The bottom-line is that I think we all want a better Foster City. I'm not convinced that the proposed change will make it better. I'm sure that it will be better for the additional residents that the change will add to Foster City; I've no doubt that it will be better for Sares Regis — but on balance, I haven't felt that the any of the Pilgrim Triton developments that Sares Regis has completed so far have improved Foster City, certainly none that outweigh their drawbacks, so I don't believe that the change that Sares Regis is proposing will benefit Foster City either.

Please reject the change to Pilgrim Triton Phase C proposed Sares Regis. Or, at least delay approval until all other major projects are completed and their empirical effects on Foster City (especially traffic and school crowding) are known with more certainty.

Thank you,

Michael Hirabayashi

--

Mike Hirabayashi
mhirabayashi@comcast.net

From:	Karen Tunnell
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Saris-Regis
Date:	Thursday, July 28, 2016 4:42:19 PM

Council members,

Since I will not be able to attend your August 1 meeting regarding more housing, I wanted to let you know that I am AGAINST adding any more housing in Foster City. We still don't the effects of those huge developments on the east side of town. We do know that they have changed the look and perception of Foster City and were not part of the vision for our city's development.
Enough is enough. We need to proceed with more caution than was shown by previous planning commissions and councils.

Sincerely,

Karen Tunnell
37 year resident

Sent from one of my gadgets.

From:	Gary Epstein
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis 68 Townhomes Pilgrim-Triton Phase C
Date:	Thursday, July 28, 2016 1:54:36 PM

As Foster City residents and VOTERS we wish to provide our opinion on the latest development issue being presented to the council.

We oppose ANY additional housing development in Foster City until the impact of the large number of units currently under construction is measurable.

It is logical to assume this impact will be great on traffic and water usage as more people equal more cars and more consumption of our decreasing water supply. Due to the wonderful reputation of our city schools, we assume that many families will be renters/owners of the new units under construction which will increase pressure on the already overcrowded schools.

This developer's plan included the retail/office space component and it was approved by the council and should remain unaltered. Or, give them the choice of leaving it as OPEN SPACE within the sea of housing we see now!

Gary and Violet Epstein
1022 Galley Lane

From: Gruber
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Housing Development
Date: Thursday, July 28, 2016 9:46:44 AM

Council People,

Please don't approve more housing till we know the impact of the already approved housing.

My concerns about the housing developments

1. When approving building construction. Review how the building's curb appeal on Foster City Boulevard. When entering Foster City via Foster City Boulevard you see concrete all around. The new complexes appear clunking against the skyline. Buildings facing too closely together. Buildings appear like boxes and glorified barns. Foster City gives the appearance of Corporate greed. In the past, Foster City had a peaceful appearance. A place you want to visit.
2. Traffic around Foster City and Hillsdale area is congested and soon will be worse when the approved development has been developed. Please don't approve more housing.
3. Foster City schools are overcrowded.
4. I am very concern about service workers in the Bay Area. Service Industries have difficulties in finding workers. Housing cost is prohibited. The new housing developments are not affordable and it raises the rental price of older complexes. Minimum wage and even $23 per hour can't afford housing in the Bay Area. What will happen to the service industries if they can't find workers? In addition, Service workers are fleeing from the Bay Area to inland cities for hope of a better life. I am not talking about across the Bay. They are fleeing to Bakersfield, Stockton, Sacramento and similar cities.

Please be responsible.

Debra Gruber

From:	Yu Ji
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Pilgrim Triton Phase C
Date:	Wednesday, July 27, 2016 1:54:14 PM

Dear Foster City Council,

I'm writing to against any more new housing units. Please disapprove Sares Regis' proposal.

Foster City is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope you will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,
Foster City resident
Janet

From:	Pu-Ping Lu
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Fw: Against more new housing units, stop Sares Regis"s Proposal
Date:	Wednesday, July 27, 2016 9:20:47 AM

Dear Foster City Councils:

I am a resident living in foster City for 18 years. I strongly against to build more high density new housing units in Foster City. I request you to stop Sares Regis's Proposal. Thanks.

Pu-Ping Lu
1017 Flying Fish St.
Foster City

From:	Jennifer L.
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please Stop Sares Regis"s Proposal
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 11:12:32 PM

Dear Foster City Mayor Council Members:

As a long time Foster City residence, my family along with many of my neighbors are strongly against Sares Regis' proposal to build any more new housing units. During the election, most of you promised supporting stop to build more housing units in Foster City. Promise is a promise, please vote " no" on this proposal. Foster City is becoming a busy, crowned city. We enjoy the quietness of Foster City. Please reserve Foster City's beauty to all of us and our next generations.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Li
211 shearwater isle
Foster City, CA

From:	zhenhais@gmail.com
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	Zhenhai Shen
Subject:	Against Sares Regis Proposal
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 9:17:06 PM

Dear Mayor and Council members,

I learned that Sares Regis has come back with their proposal to revise the Pilgrims-Triton Phase C plan to build 68 townhouses, and it would be on the agenda of the August 01 council meeting. I am writing to ask that you discourage or stop Sares Regis from moving forward with this proposal.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Zhenhai Shen and Jianqing Huang
860 Haddock Street
Foster City, CA94404

From:	Tracia Cheng
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposing Sares Regis"s resubmitting of request to add 68 Townhomes in Foster City
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 7:10:51 PM

Dear Mayor and council members,

I am writing this email to oppose what Sares Regis's resubmission of request to add 68 Townhomes in Foster City **at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C, for "NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!".**

Some of the reasons Foster City Residents for Responsible Development oppose the proposed change:

- **Because 730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area.** See map at: http://tinyurl.com/fcrrd2 Adding more housing there will increase density, not lower it. Retaining the office-retail will break up the housing density.
- **This is classic Bait & Switch.** Have approval for office and retail space, and then switch to the more lucrative residential housing.
- **Traffic study information is old and understated.** Sares Regis relies on a 2014 "preliminary" traffic analysis. That traffic study was not accurate and was discredited. This traffic study does**NOT** take into account the substantial impacts of the nearby Triton Pointe or Waverly projects, yet to be completed. The developer proposes that this additional development will result in less traffic.
- **The new 68 townhomes are projected to generate 19 students.** This is in addition to the rest of the Pilgrim-Triton Master Plan developments with 145 new students. The School District wrote to both the City of Foster City and the developer on March 12, 2015: "Currently the SMFCSD is experiencing enrollment growth and the schools in Foster City have reached capacity. We cannot confirm enrollment in a Foster City school, only a school within the SMFCSD." Elementary students are already being "*overflowed*" out of Foster City into San Mateo.
- **If children are not able to attend Foster City Schools** they would be driven in private vehicles for 2 round trips a day into San Mateo schools – drop off and then pick up. (3 round trips if there is a kindergartener and school-aged sibling).
- **These office-retail spaces were intended to provide more places to work, shop and eat.**
- These office-retail spaces were to offer more choices for residents as well as non-residents, all bringing tax dollars into Foster City**. And** the commercial parking garage was to serve as parking for the local residents at night.
- **If the City does not approve of the change, Sares Regis may decide NOT to build the office-retail space and the parking structure.** It may be postponed, or abandoned all together. But this is not an either-or choice. Even if the developer decides to build the office-retail and parking structure, the City can control the building heights and details of the design.
- **The current City Council has consistently denied approval of**

additional housingproposed by other developers, i.e. Edgewater Shopping Plaza and Marina Housing Project. Any sign that the Council might approve this proposal will set the stage for other developers to propose and insist on similar changes.

This new request by Sares Regis is virtually identical to the Sares Regis request of 2015, so the summary of the reasons the proposal should have been rejected by the City Council in 2015 will still apply. This summary can be accessed at: http://cushmansite.com/cgi/master_reasons.pdf

Best regards,

Tracia Cheng

Sent from my iPhone

From:	ashishvsingh@gmail.com
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Plan for MORE NEW HOUSING in Foster City. August 1st City Council Meeting
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 7:06:08 PM

Hi Council Members,

I am writing to express my concerns about this proposed housing development project. As a resident and parent raising kids in this beautiful city I have seen the impact this has had on schools, traffic especially exits to freeways, air quality etc. with the new and current approved projects in progress we have already reached the tipping point where this will no longer remain as pleasant a place to raise a family.

Regards,
Ashish Singh
872 Ursa Ln
Foster City, CA 94404

From: tairung.chien@gmail.com
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Shares Regis 68 Townhouse at Pilgrim-Triton Project
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 6:53:22 PM

I am a resident of Foster City since 1980 and currently residing at 657 Matsonia Dr. I am strongly opposing the 68 Townhouse project at Pilgrim-Triton. In last couple of years, there are too many high density housing projects completed and that severely impact our traffic congestion and shortage of school capacity. Our life at Foster City has been deteriorating. Thus,we urge the council to reject the proposed 68 Townhouse project at Pilgrim-Triton. Your effort to stop the project would be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Lee-Ping Chien
657 Matsonia Dr.,Foster City

Sent from my iPad

From:	Roxana Wales
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Stop Rezoning for Pilgrim/Triton area
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 6:25:48 PM

I respectfully ask the council to not approve a rezoning to more high density housing. We need to take a break and better understand our current challenges before adding more housing.
Thank you
Roxana Wales

From:	Xin Huang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more new housing units
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 4:27:53 PM

Dear Mayor and council members,

I am a resident in Foster City. I am against any additional new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster City is already very crowed and it cannot take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services including schools and traffic.

Thanks,
Xin

From:	Daniella Quinones
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Rezoning?
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 4:27:46 PM

Dear City Council,

It is my understanding that you will be meeting on August 1st to discuss rezoning some land along Pilgrim/Triton. I live two blocks away and I have to say this is a terrible idea. The traffic is unbearable mornings and evenings. We have three huge developments currently under construction. We don't know what the impact of those will be on roads and schools. Until then, we need to hold off on any new developments.

Best,

Daniella Quinones
Resident of 1200 E Hilldale Blvd

From:	Calvin Hu
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please Stop Sares Regis" Proposal
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 3:58:40 PM

Dear City Council,

It has come to my attention that there are too many housing projects being constructed in Foster City.
As a resident of Foster City,
I am against any more new housing units.

Sincerely,
Calvin Hu

From:	Yue Liu
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No on Pilgrims-Triton Phase C plan
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 3:41:39 PM

Dear Mayor and Council members,

My name is Yue Liu, I am a resident in Foster city. Recently, I realized that Sares Regis has come back with their proposal to revise the Pilgrims-Triton Phase C plan to build 68 townhouses, and it would be on the agenda of the August 01 council meeting. I am writing to you to strongly urge you to discourage or stop Sares Regis from moving forward with this proposal.

As a resident who has been living in Foster city since 2007. I feel that the traffic in this area is getting worse and worse and the city is getting more and more crowdy. I think no more housing units should be approved or encouraged until the impact of the current building boom is fully assessed and appropriately mitigated. I have full trust in the current council that it will do the right thing and push back Sares Regis' proposal.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Yue Liu, Ph. D.
Resident at 815 Peary Lane

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Shannon94404
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Pilgrim-Triton area
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 3:33:58 PM

Dear members of the FC city council,

Thank you for your service to our community. I and so many others are grateful for all that you do.

I am writing to add my voice to those who oppose any further development or construction in the Pilgrim-Triton area, and particularly the development of more housing units. Please, please, please oppose however possible the construction of more living units in that area. You know all of the reasons that people are opposing this development, so I do not need to repeat them. I just don't want our city to become more crowded and more over-crowded than it already is. Once additional housing units are built, they are there forever.

Our schools are already overcrowded.

The traffic is already terrible at times, and it's already going to get worse with all of the new construction already underway.

Please, please, please, however you are able to do so, please oppose the further construction of new residential units in the Pilgrim-Triton area (and elsewhere in Foster City).

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your service.

Sincerely,

Shannon and Karen Pappas

977 Crane Avenue
Foster City, CA 94404

From:	Regina Guggenheim
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis proposal
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 3:26:45 PM

Dear Honorable Council Members of Foster City:

On behalf of my husband and myself, I am writing to request that you deny the request by Sares Regis to rezone the Pilgrim Triton Phase C to allow 68 for-sale townhouses.

We have been homeowners in Foster City for over 11 years and love living in our beautiful City. Our children are/have attended Audubon and Bowditch and spend many hours playing sports with FC teams on our fields. We know what a valuable resource our city is and know how incredibly fortunate we are to raise our family here.

However, we are also impacted by the growing negatives, particularly related to traffic and our overcrowded schools.

1. Since both of us commute to San Francisco, we know full well the challenges of getting in and out of Foster City. Challenges made worse by the decrease in nearby public transit. We live on the East side of the city and are deeply concerned by the soon to be additional traffic from the senior center and the 100 Grand Apartments when those are fully occupied.

2. We also see how the schools are impacted by overcrowding. Every time I read the reports by developers, I am shocked by the low-ball estimates of new students. First, all the schools are impacted and cannot really take any new students. Second, we are not a hip, happening location where singles will congregate. Foster City is a likely housing destination for families and couples looking to start one. People want to be in Foster City for our schools, but the more we overcrowd them, the less effective and valuable they become.

3. Esthetics. If Sares Regis wants to move ahead with the current planned development that would be primarily office space and retail food establishments, then please make sure they design and build a property that fits with the landscape and design philosophy of the founders. High rise, block buildings make sense next to transit hubs but they are out of place, jolting and damaging to the image of our city.

4. Resources. Again, the availability of power and water need to be assessed once all of the housing currently built comes on line and the affects are measurable. They are not unlimited.

While housing is the current buzzword, I firmly believe that Foster City is one of the least equipped Peninsula cities on which to solve the housing crisis. We know there is very little available land and commercial developers (whose sole purpose it is to make money) want to seize any opportunity they can, especially before the area experiences another bubble.
We need to see what the full impacts are of all of the new housing being built, including the new senior center. We cannot assess the complete consequences until the housing is completed, inhabited and utilized - and as residents, we deserve no

less. We trust you will follow your campaign pledges, do the right things and not allow Sares Regis or any other developers to add more housing at this time.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully.

Regina Guggenheim and Paul Schoening
1074 Gull Avenue

From: Connie Vial
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No More housing approval!
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 2:22:19 PM

Council members:

This is to let you know I am opposing any new home building in Foster City until we know the effects of what is currently being constructed.

I understand Sares Regis is back with his proposal of last year and it will be reviewed on August 1st, 2016 at the council chambers.

Please vote no!

Thank you!

Kindest regards,

Connie Vial,GRI
Broker/Owner
Vial Properties
Mobile: 650-799-0918
Office: 650-578-0885
Vialproperties@hotmail.com
Website: www.vialproperties.com
CalBRE#00833411
Thank you for referring your family and friends!

Sent from my iPad

From: Jeri Lynne Jones
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: ENOUGH
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 2:11:02 PM

To all the Council Members,

We have enough new housing for our small community. If there is an emergency how are YOU going to get out of town???
Much less the school situation.
Our traffic is horrendous as is without adding new housing which would be adding about 2 cars or more with each unit.
And our traffic problem does not even include ALL the housing now in progress!!

I am getting to hate this city that I have dearly loved since 1977. Such a shame to see it go to buildings, and more buildings, and more buildings.

PLEASE STOP any further building approvals.

Thank You,
Jeri Lynne Jones
Michael McConnell
718 Zumwalt Lane

From:	Wei Yu
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO to any more new building units in our city!
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 2:09:55 PM

Dear Mayor and Council members:

I am against any more new housing units. Please STOP Sares Regis's proposal.

Best,

Wei

--

```
                 \\\|///
               \\  .-?.- //
               (  .@.@  )
+--------oOOo-----(_)------oOOo---------+
|                                       |
|        Ooooooooooooops                |
|                                       |
+---------.oooO-------------Oooo.---------+
           (    )           (   )
            \ (              ) /
             \_)           (_/
```

From:	Thomas J. Barger
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 1:48:15 PM

Members of the Foster City Council:

I urge you to abstain from considering any new developments until the projects now under construction have been completed and occupied. Only then we will know how much the traffic will be affected by these new developments.

Thomas J. Barger
1114 Bounty Drive

From:	Mr pat lok
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO more new condos or apartments in Foster city
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 1:36:00 PM

Please do not approve any new permit to build new condos and apartments in Foster city.t pact the quality of living live in Foster city.

Thanks for doing your job to keep quality live in Foster city.

From:	Chiu Chan
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Objection to Sares Regis development
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 1:23:56 PM

Dear FC Council,

I am writing to you to strongly oppose Sares Regis' proposal to add more town homes at Pilgrim-Triton. I have been a home owner in FC since 1994. I love this great city! However, the quality of life has slowly degraded, especially the last 5 years. Getting in and out of FC during rush hours is becoming unbearable. When FC Square is completed, it will just get worse.

Another big issue in FC is over-crowding in schools. The SM-FC School District has done an extremely poor job at planning.

Bottom line is that FC can't afford to increase its population. Thanks for your attention.

Sincerely,
Chiu Chan

From:	Cynthia Erickson
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	Cynthia Erickson
Subject:	PLEASE DECLINE - 68 new Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 1:14:19 PM

Dear Foster City Council members,

As Foster City homeowners and taxpayers for **35 years**, we are dismayed at the horrible traffic congestion, overcrowding of schools, and overall deterioration of the quality of our lives, brought on by the Council's ongoing approval of more development. **THIS RELENTLESS GROWTH MUST STOP !**

We respectfully ask that you: DECLINE :

- **the 68 townhomes** requested by **Sares Regis at Pilgrim-Triton**
- **any other new proposal for more growth**

Please listen to the concerns of Foster City residents who have worked so hard to build our city. See you **August 1** at the Council meeting.

Best regards,
Homeowners Robert and Cynthia Erickson
1111 Blythe St
Foster City, CA 94404

From:	Lynn C
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more new housing project in Foster City .....please!
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 12:51:34 PM

City Councils,

Please do NOT approve any new housing project in Foster City. The traffic in our city is already very bad.

Thanks,
Lynn
Sent from my iPad

Sent from my iPad

From:	TERRY G FANCHER
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	phyllisjanemoore@att.net
Subject:	Resident concerns re continued, excessive development of multi-unit structures
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 12:49:59 PM

July 26, 2016

Dear Foster City Council Members
This letter is posted to support the efforts of the Foster City Residents for Responsible Housing to implore the Council to hear our voices. To direct you to review and modify your apparent willingness to allow unrestricted construction of multiple unit complexes without regard to the feelings and concerns of the residents of our once complementary mixture of single family homes and modest numbers of high occupancy structures.

Our family has lived in Foster City since 1970, first on Galveston Street and, since 1973, at our current home on Flying Cloud Isle. We have resided happily and contentedly in residential bucolic comfort until recent years. Now we find that contentment challenged on a daily basis by the combined ravages of undisciplined development by elements unresponsive to the concern of residents: overbuilding of mammoth multi unit structures; zero efforts to mitigate the infrastructure demands driven by the influx of residents on streets and services. Roadways already crammed to overflowing by non-residents using our streets as freeway bypasses to the SM Bridge; fine, granular, filthy dust filled air blown by the prevailing winds from the projects in the Triton/Pilgrim area befouling our homes inside and out, our cars, patios and landscaping. Personally destructive and expensive to mitigate intrusions into our homes for which we haven't the water resources to clean ourselves...the list of minuses goes on and on. We must rely on our council to do battle with these impositions in our stead. For these reasons we've joined the call for such a battle.

Quite apart from these concerns but also impacting the quality of life in Foster City is the several hundred-fold increase in aircraft noise the bayside half of our city is experiencing as a result of revised low altitude side by side arrival procedures into SFO--even in perfect weather; procedures apparently instituted by the FAA without consult or comment by the residents living under their flight paths or the council elected to represent them.

In that regard, as a 38-year veteran pilot/Captain of major air carrier flights into and out of SFO I'd be happy to serve on and share my experience and expertise with the Foster City noise abatement committee (if one still exists) to retroactively address this explosion of aircraft noise in our community.

Thank you for listening to both of my concerns.
Terry G. Fancher
158 Flying Cloud Isle

Foster City, CA 94404

(H) 650 345-0130
eMail: ted1828@sbcglobal.net

From: David Masuda
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Rezoning Pilgrim Triton Phase C
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 12:33:14 PM

FC Council Members,

I am aware that there is a council meeting on Monday August 1 to review Sares Regis' request to rezone their Phase C from mixed Commercial/Residential to only Residential. I'm sure a lot of residents have already expressed their feelings about this rezoning, but I wanted to hear it from you.

Given all the push back from the city in recent years over housing, I wanted to ask- this request isn't being seriously entertained is it? You are just "going through the motions," as it is required. I can't imagine knowing all that you do, that this request possesses even a minute chance of approval.

Thank you,
David
(FC resident of 36 years)

From: Green Giant Green Giant
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Housing Project
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 11:24:33 AM

Dear Councils,

Please do not accept any housing project in Foster City. The traffic is already very very bad.
Thanks!!!

From:	Suzy & Vincent Lee
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	no more housing
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 10:41:52 AM

The new approved housing has impacted the daily flow of traffic in and out; our schools are bursting to its seems.

PLEASE, NO MORE NEW HOUSING IN FOSTER CITY.

**before 10am and after 3:30p traffic becomes to a standstill on 101 into FC.

Residents needing to get to Hayward and the rest of the Bay Area are using our local streets to get to Hwy 92. !!

Pls consider us as a resident of Foster City for 45 years.

Thank you,

The Lees

From: Herb Perez
To: Caryl Brewbaker
Cc: Foster City City Council
Subject: Re: NO MORE NEW HOUSING !
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 10:19:17 AM

I grow tired of veiled threats please refrain.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 26, 2016, at 10:12 AM, Caryl Brewbaker <cbrewbaker@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Someone(s) is moving (quickly) against city wishes, and I am guessing that an investigation might land someone in jail. These moves are not benefiting the city.
> Caryl Brewbaker

From:	Wang Grace
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Against new development in Foster City
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 10:18:19 AM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,
Grace
Foster City residence

From:	Jane Liu
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more new development in Foster City
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 10:13:15 AM

Dear Council members,

I heard there's new proposal on some new development for more condos and townhouse in Foster City. Just want to raise my voice and say it's not a good idea. If you ever need to get back in Foster city between 5 - 7pm or get out of Foster city between 8:30 - 10am, you would already know why.

I don't think Foster City can bear more traffic now. So no more development PLEASE!!!

Thanks for reading my email.
Jianghui (Jane) Liu

From:	Suen, Alison D - San Mateo, CA
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO MORE NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN FOSTER CITY
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 9:45:34 AM

To Foster City Council Members,

Please HOLD any further housing development including rental apartments and townhomes in Foster City. The schools in Foster City are overcrowded and traffic congestion is getting worse in our area. Have any of you tried to leave Foster City or get home on Highway 92 or East Hillsdale either during commute or non-commute hours…it is a nightmare even from San Mateo. The increase in population in also draining our city service in Foster City, including the water supply from the drought.

Please maintain OUR quality of life in Foster City and STOP any new housing development.

Thank you,
Alison Suen

From: sylamz2@comcast.net
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more building
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 9:04:58 AM

To whom it may concern,

My husband and I have been residents of Foster City for 30 years, we believe there have been too many constructions occurring in our city. We do not want any new condominiums/townhouses to be built.

Alice Lam

Sent from Xfinity Connect Mobile App

From:	Dong Qu
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please do NOT approve new house construction!
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 8:11:26 AM

Hi Members of city council,

As Foster City resident I urge you to not approve any further new house constructions, until there is a solution to address the overcrowd of school and traffic problem, which deeply concern us.

My family have been living here for 20 years and truly love the city, expect everything to be done to improve the quality of life, with your support, your hard work are highly appreciated!

Regards
Eric

From:	Larry"s Email
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Limit new housing
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 6:21:34 AM

Please limit the additional housing in FC. Too much congestion and no corresponding infrastructure to accommodate.
Thank you
Larry J. Shaw
605 Gloucester Lane

From:	Bala Ganeshan
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis Proposal to build more homes...
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 4:19:22 AM

Hello Elected Officials,

I was just made aware of the fact that the Sares Regis proposal to build high density housing is back. Furthermore I have been informed that the builder is using a loophole to come back in front of the council (withdrew the fact rest application before the council ruled).

Please do not build any more new housing until the current issues with traffic, schools, etc. is addressed. And please don't hide behind the flawed environmental impact study. It has clearly proved wrong before. There is no reason to believe it would be correct this time around. All that's required is to sit in traffic for an hour everyday trying to get off Hillsdale every week, or visit the mall where there is a rumored proposal to build a new elementary school.

This time around I would like the council to formally consider the proposal and reject it with a clause that explicitly states no more reconsideration until the current problems are addressed.

Thank you.

Bala Ganeshan
423 Thatcher Lane, Foster City, CA 94404

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad

From:	lubowskifc@gmail.com
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	Sven Brehmer; Mimi High
Subject:	New consruction
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 2:21:39 AM

I am strongly opposed to the approval of the project. As a resident of Foster City for 20 years I am appaled bu the sharp demise of our living condition resulting from never ending addtions. Long term quality of life as well as fiscal health of thos lovely community is again jeopardized at the altar of vulgar and unconstrained GREED. It takes blindness to miss it.

Julian Lubowski

636 Greenwich Lane
Foster City

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mr.Valeriy Lazurin
To:	Foster City Information
Subject:	DEAR GOOD FRIEND
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 12:32:09 AM

Private Information.

Hello and good day to you.

My client is a well known high net-worth individual has funds for investment, if you are interested , please get back to me via email valzurin1@gmail.com or via my telephone +447937105532 for further details as this is 100% risk-free and legitimate.

I look forward to working with you.

Best Regards,
Mr. Valeriy Lazurin

From: Annie
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new housing please
Date: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 12:20:43 AM

Dear Foster City Council,

Please do not approve any new housing, including the upcoming proposal of rezoning for new housing at Pilgrim/Triton.
It pains me enough that everyday I'd have to fight traffic to drive one of my child to a High School in San Mateo because we don't have a High School in F.C. With all the current new housing in place or in progress, we don't really have adequate parking options for community events for those who live farther away from Leo J Ryan Park. Walking a couple of miles is not always viable for everyone.
As is, traffic will only get worse once all the current new housing projects are completed & occupied. I shudder to visualize the congestion during commute hours at Pilgrim/Triton leading to Hillsdale/F.C Blvd that will be happening shortly. Consideration for the approval of rezoning for more new housing at this time without new traffic study & planning would be unthinkable & irresponsible to the people & the City.
New housing naturally would mean more residents. We only live 2 blocks away from an Elementary School & less than a mile away from Bowditch. With an 8 yr old we are concerned that there's a chance that there may be no space for our kid in the F.C. school in the many years to come before High School. How is it that all these new housings were approved without consideration of the fundamental planning of schools? And now a rezoning proposal for more housing was submitted?
Foster City is a gem. We all know why the developers want more new housing here. There are obviously politics at play & financial profits to be benefited by many. But it would not make common sense to me, to the people of Foster City, and hopefully to you - Council Members, that at this time, amidst all the new constructions & new housing everywhere, to approve yet another when we don't have enough space in our schools, & no new traffic/parking study/ planning.
Enough is enough! We need to stop, assess, perform new impact studies of traffic/ schools.. Etc
Please help to preserve our beautiful city, community & our top notch schools.

Thank you,
Sincerly,
Annie Cheng

From:	Jinfeng Liu
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	reject the proposal to rezone Pilgrim Triton Phase C
Date:	Tuesday, July 26, 2016 12:08:53 AM

Dear Foster City Councilmembers,

As a Foster City resident, I am writing to strongly oppose the proposal to rezone Pilgrim Triton Phase C. Given all the recent housing development in the city, it would be a disaster for the life quality of all FC residents to approve more housing development without solving the traffic and school problems that are getting worse by the day. Every FC residents around me share the same concern, and I hope the city council will soundly reject the rezoning plan for the benefit of our city and our people. Thank you for your attention.

Best,
Jinfeng Liu

From:	xiaohong bao
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please stop Sares Regis"s proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:52:41 PM

Dear Foster City Council,

We've witnessed a lot of new constructions going on in the past couple of years in Foster City, resulting in more crowded schools, worse traffic during peak time, and a less livable city. I've seen a few friends moving out of Foster Cities last year. If the city is more crowded, a lot of family with higher incomes may choose to leave, which may directly affect the local tax revenue of the city.

We don't like any more housing develpment in Foster City. I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Sincerely

Xiaohong (Bonnie) Bao

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

From:	Lawrence Cheng
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:31:22 PM

Dear Foster City Council-

Please allow me to express my strong opposition to the subject development proposal submitted by Sares Regis.

I am concerned the recent spate of uncontrolled growth has strained existing infrastructure, increased traffic congestion, over stressed our school system and irreversibly diminished the quality of life in our community. The interests of our community are being sold out. Enough is enough.

Please stop the overdevelopment - starting with subject proposal from Sares Regis.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Cheng
Foster City Resident

From:	Wendy
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	New house
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:21:13 PM

I am against any new house plan in Foster city, please stop those developer .

Thanks

Wendy

Sent from my iPhone

From: Wendy
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No new housing in Foster city
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 11:18:00 PM

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ann Kolesnik
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No to rezoning this next phase of Pilgrim / Triton
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:15:13 PM

We are living in Foster City since 2011 and the traffic is getting worse the past years.
So I would like to say No to rezoning this next phase of Pilgrim / Triton.
Thanks for your time

Sincerely,
Ann Kolesnik

From:	A. Isabel Orphanopoulos
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:10:52 PM

Dear member of the City Council of Foster City,

One again we are asking you to reject the proposal of Mr. Sares Regis to add 68 mores Housing Units.

We really thought that this idea was over last year in May, after all, that we the citizens of Foster City went through !

What is the point to star all over again, when you know what "We the citizen" think about this.
730 Units have been approved, that means schools,that we don't have, services, we will have to stretch, and traffic that for sure will be worst than today.

The city should not add more housing until we can verify the effects of what already is being under construction.

We already talked about this last year!! Other developers have been denied for the same reason.

We ask you to please look after us, the people who elected you.

Many thanks for your consideration

Isabel and Andres Orphanopoulos
1105 Bounty Dr.
Foster City

From:	Ben
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Fwd: ALERT: Plan for MORE NEW HOUSING in Foster City. August 1st City Council Meeting
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:00:22 PM

Hello,

I have been a resident of foster city from 1996. Please stop more housing in our city.

NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!

It is already unbearable now...

Thanks

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Foster City Residents for Responsible Housing** <phyllisjanemoore@att.net>
Date: Sun, Jul 24, 2016 at 8:48 AM
Subject: ALERT: Plan for MORE NEW HOUSING in Foster City. August 1st City Council Meeting
To: ben.goswami@gmail.com

Sares Regis is resubmitting their request to add
68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Your Action Needed

Question to City Hall: "How come Sares Regis is coming back before the Council, again?"
Answer: "Sares Regis never moved forward after their meeting in May 2015. They now want to reinitiate the proposal. Since the entitlement process was never started and the composition of the City Council has changed since the previous meeting, the item has been scheduled for Preliminary Development Review by the City Council before they start the formal review process so they can get feedback from the current council. The concept is same, although they have settled on requested 68 units instead of a range between 65 and 70."

ACTION STEPS:

- Write to your City Council at council@fostercity.org This email address to the Council reaches all 5 Council Members. Your emails become part of the public record **BUT MUST REACH CITY HALL BY July 26** to be in the Council's packet. The proposed Edgewater Shopping Center Housing Project, Marina Housing Project, and this original Pilgrim-Triton proposal were defeated largely due to your emails and your presentations to the City Council.
- Mark your calendars for August 1, 2016 to attend and speak at the Council Meeting, 6:30pm, City Hall. Get there early! **Your presence makes a huge impact on the City Council.**
- Sign this petition asking for a breather on new Housing approvals at http://tinyurl.com/nomorehousing
- **Please get the word out to your friends and neighbors!**

NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!

Some of the reasons Foster City Residents for Responsible Development oppose the proposed change:

- **Because 730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area.** See map at: http://tinyurl.com/fcrrd2 Adding more housing there will increase density, not lower it. Retaining the office-retail will break up the housing density.
- **This is classic Bait & Switch.** Have approval for office and retail space, and then switch to the more lucrative residential housing.
- **Traffic study information is old and understated.** Sares Regis relies on a 2014 "preliminary" traffic analysis. That traffic study was not accurate and was discredited. This traffic study does **NOT** take into account the substantial impacts of the nearby Triton Pointe or Waverly projects, yet to be completed. The developer proposes that this additional development will result in less traffic.
- **The new 68 townhomes are projected to generate 19 students.** This is in addition to the rest of the Pilgrim-Triton Master Plan developments with 145 new students. The School District wrote to both the City of Foster City and the developer on March 12, 2015: "Currently the SMFCSD is experiencing enrollment growth and the schools in Foster City have reached capacity. We cannot confirm enrollment in a Foster City school, only a school within the SMFCSD." Elementary students are already being "*overflowed*" out of Foster City into San Mateo.
- **If children are not able to attend Foster City Schools** they would be driven in private vehicles for 2 round trips a day into San Mateo schools – drop off and then pick up. (3 round trips if there is a kindergartener and school-aged sibling).
- **These office-retail spaces were intended to provide more places to work, shop and eat.**
- These office-retail spaces were to offer more choices for residents as well as non-residents, all bringing tax dollars into Foster City**. And** the commercial parking garage was to serve as parking for the local residents at night.
- **If the City does not approve of the change, Sares Regis may decide NOT to build the office-retail space and the parking structure.** It may be postponed, or abandoned all together. But this is not an either-or choice. Even if the developer decides to build the office-retail and parking structure, the City can control the building heights and details of the design.
- **The current City Council has consistently denied approval of additional housing** proposed by other developers, i.e. Edgewater Shopping Plaza and Marina Housing Project. Any sign that the Council might approve this proposal will set the stage for other developers to propose and insist on similar changes.

This new request by Sares Regis is virtually identical to the Sares Regis request of 2015, so the summary of the reasons the proposal should have been rejected by the City Council in 2015 will still apply. This summary can be accessed at: http://cushmansite.com/cgi/master_reasons.pdf

"Growth for the sake of growth is the ideology of a cancer cell." Edward Abby

This information was prepared by Foster City Residents for Responsible Development
For more information, visit our Facebook page at: http://tinyurl.com/fcrrd1

This email was sent to ben.goswami@gmail.com
why did I get this? unsubscribe from this list update subscription preferences
Foster City Residents for Responsible Development · 1019 Monterey Avenue · Foster City, Ca 94404 · USA

From: Ana Miller
To: Foster City City Manager"s Office
Subject: Automating Contract Management with SharePoint and Microsoft Cloud
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 10:52:19 PM

Can't see this email? View online version

WEBINAR SERIES

Enterprise Contract Governance with SharePoint & Office 365

Why CIOs should be concerned about Contract Management & Governance

More than 55% of enterprises struggle with lost contracts and rudimentary systems to manage governance of contracts. Many miss contract deadlines, renewals and face potential loss of savings or revenues. With the elevated concern for compliance and risk management it is necessary to enable legal & departmental contract management functions to implement standardized systems and governance processes for contracts lifecycle management.

Current investments in SharePoint and other document repositories are grossly inadequate falling short of the Legal and Contract Management needs with lack of authoring/template mechanisms, electronic approvals and signatures along with robust repository and reporting.

Please join us for an hour to review how your peers are adopting better Contract Governance and Management processes with Contract Lifecycle Management for SharePoint and Office 365.

Register for our upcoming Webinar

Automating Contract Management With SharePoint & Office 365

Thursday July 28, 2016 @ 11 EDT

REGISTER

Thursday Aug 11, 2016 @ 11 EDT

REGISTER

Optimus BT, a leading provider of Contract Governance & Management Platforms, is presenting these webinars that will focus on demonstrating how eContracts™, an Office 365 integrated software built on the Microsoft Azure platform, can help enterprises standardize and automate the CLM process with secure contract repositories and capabilities such as authoring, search, document collaboration, eSignature, workflows, configurable forms and an inbuilt governance framework. We will also highlight how various

stakeholders in the CLM process including contract administration, legal, finance and business teams can implement best practices and policies to manage overall contract risk and improve productivity.

---

*Even if you can't make it, register and we'll send you the presentation from the webinar.

© Optimus BT is an Atlanta, GA based company with our offices at 2300 Lakeview Parkway, Suite 700, Alpharetta, GA 30009.
Unsubscribe | Privacy Policy

From:	John Folmar
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	John Folmar
Subject:	Opposal to Sares Regis request to add 68 townhouse at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:22:24 PM

Dear Foster City Councilmembers:

As a registered voter and Foster City Homeowner, I strongly oppose the request by Sares Regis to add 68 townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C in place of the office-retail space and parking structure, AS ORIGINALLY AGREED TO based on the following:

- With the recent completed and soon to be completed, residential building projects in Foster City, it is unclear what impact those units will have on Foster City. 68 ADDITIONAL townhomes is sure increase traffic more. I request a traffic study be completed to understand the impact those additional units would have on the city PRIOR to approval of such a request by Sares.
- Foster City schools are already saturated and Foster City students bused to San Mateo schools. Additional schools MUST be built prior to addition of more residential units.
- The office-retail spaces and parking structure were intended to provide more places to work, shot and eat, which would benefit both residents and non-residents, bringing tax revenues to benefit the city.
- Sares Regis originally agreed to the office-retail space. Either build it....or don't. NO NEW RESIDENTIAL UNITS.
- Sares Regis proposed such changes to Foster City council and residents in 2015 and was denied such changes. Why make the request again?
- Foster City Council has denied approval of additional housing by other developers for reasons stated above as they are aware of. Making exceptions for Sares is contradictory and hypocritical in light of above reasons.

Again, I strongly oppose this request by Sares Regis.

Sincerely,

John Folmar
4213 Admiralty Lane
Foster City

From:	Nadin
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Plan for MORE NEW HOUSING in Foster City
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:00:01 PM

Dear Council Members,

As a Foster City resident, I am writing you to express my opposition to the Sares Regis' request to add 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C.

The reasons I oppose this proposal are:

- **Because 730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area.** See map at: http://tinyurl.com/fcrrd2 Adding more housing there will increase density, not lower it. Retaining the office-retail will break up the housing density.
- **This is classic Bait & Switch.** Have approval for office and retail space, and then switch to the more lucrative residential housing.
- **Traffic study information is old and understated.** Sares Regis relies on a 2014 "preliminary" traffic analysis. That traffic study was not accurate and was discredited. This traffic study does **NOT** take into account the substantial impacts of the nearby Triton Pointe or Waverly projects, yet to be completed. The developer proposes that this additional development will result in less traffic.
- **The new 68 townhomes are projected to generate 19 students.** This is in addition to the rest of the Pilgrim-Triton Master Plan developments with 145 new students. The School District wrote to both the City of Foster City and the developer on March 12, 2015: "Currently the SMFCSD is experiencing enrollment growth and the schools in Foster City have reached capacity. We cannot confirm enrollment in a Foster City school, only a school within the SMFCSD." Elementary students are already being "*overflowed*" out of Foster City into San Mateo.
- **If children are not able to attend Foster City Schools** they would be driven in private vehicles for 2 round trips a day into San Mateo schools – drop off and then pick up. (3 round trips if there is a kindergartener and school-aged sibling).
- **These office-retail spaces were intended to provide more places to work, shop and eat.**
- These office-retail spaces were to offer more choices for residents as well as non-residents, all bringing tax dollars into Foster City**. And** the commercial parking garage was to serve as parking for the local residents at night.
- **If the City does not approve of the change, Sares Regis may decide NOT to build the office-retail space and the parking structure.** It may be postponed, or abandoned all together. But this is not an either-or choice. Even if the developer decides to build the office-retail and parking structure, the City can control the building heights and details of the design.
- **The current City Council has consistently denied approval of additional housing** proposed by other developers, i.e. Edgewater Shopping Plaza and Marina Housing Project. Any sign that the Council might approve this proposal will set the stage for other developers to propose and insist on similar changes.

Thank you for taking into account my opposition to the above request.

Sincerely,
Nadin Mayblum-Boaz
240 Mainsail Ct., Foster City

From:	Chris Christensen
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Housing
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 9:59:03 PM

To our Foster City Council Members,

Hi, my husband and I have been living in Foster City for 25 years. We raised three children here and totally enjoyed our life and now we are empty nesters. We love this city and plan to spend the rest of our days here. We have noticed the ridiculous traffic problem that has occurred in the last few years heading east on Hillsdale and I try to be safely inside Foster City by 3pm just to avoid being stuck in it. If you continue to let more people populate our already overpopulated city, the same thing will be happening here, inside our city. Please STOP the building from happening.

Thank you,
Bob and Chris Christensen
514 Hanbury Lane

From:	Jingxi Zhang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please stop Sares Regis"s proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 9:46:29 PM

I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Jingxi Zhang

From:	Inna Zatulovsky
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 9:01:34 PM

Every day when I come home from work I have to wait at least 30 min on the exit to enter Foster City. Do you want for me to wait for an hour?
All new housing is nor occupied yet.

NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF

WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!

From: Gt
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new houses
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 8:51:15 PM

I am against new houses/townhouses/condo in foster city.

Guang huan Tu
Foster City Resident

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Diane Daniels
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	August 1, 2016 Council Meeting
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 8:35:51 PM

Dear Council Members,

Time for a "time out" from the continual efforts of developers to build, build, build.
The residents are angry and frustrated with the many applications submitted to allow more building. It appears their plan is to wear us down but it won't work.
With much hope that the City Council will reject this latest proposal and any others coming up.

Thank you,
Diane Daniels

Sent from my iPad

From:	Wenli Wei
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Objection to any proposal to increase residential housing projects on Pilgrim Triton
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 7:52:53 PM

Dear Council Members,

I am writing to oppose any proposal to increase residential projects on Pilgrim Triton. I hope the city has come to realize how much burden the new housing would bring to our already congested street traffic condition and the school system. It also brings down the landscape of our once beautiful town. In addition, the security of our neighborhood has deteriorated significantly. For these reasons, I strongly oppose more housing construction in Foster City.

Sincerely,
Wenli Wei

Sent from my iPad

From:	Donna Campi
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis Proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 7:39:01 PM

I am asking that you, as the elected 2016 City Council, reject the proposal by Sares Regis for additional housing units. As a longtime resident of Foster City, I commute to Burlingame for work. I can no longer take Highway 92 to get onto Highway 101 due to the traffic and must take service streets. These routes in and out of our City, have become increasingly congested with commuters trying to get onto the San Mateo-Hayward bridge. What once took me 20 minutes commute time one way has now doubled to 40 minutes and that is on good nights. And this is before all the current development has even been completed and construction that has been completed has even been occupied.

Enough is enough! We need to know the effects on all Foster City residents before more new housing is approved. I know change and growth is inevitable and I have seen both positive and negative in my 30+ years in Foster City. However, the negative impact on quality of life has never been greater.

Thank you for your consideration of this email. I am trusting in you to oppose this proposed change from office/retail to residential.

Sincerely,
Donna Campi
1150 Balclutha Drive
Foster City, CA. 94404

From:	J Miller
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Stop building
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 6:49:21 PM

I am completely against any further residential building.

Jillana Miller
1100 schooner st

Sent from my iPhone

From: ruthsieber@yahoo.com
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: PLS! No more Pilgrim/Triton housing
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 6:22:36 PM

Hello,

I would like to add my voice to the efforts to NOT rezone for more housing at Pilgrim / Triton projects under your consideration August 1.

Although I generally like the idea of mixed usage, I don't believe our community can handle more housing at this intersection.

Please do not rezone this next phase of Pilgrim / Triton project!

Thank you.

Regards,
Ruth Sieber
h. 650-345-8290 c. 650-477-5075

Live Life to the Plus+ Maintain Vitality and Health!
Whole food based nutrition Juice Plus+ supports a healthy diet by offering a much wider variety of naturally occurring vitamins – along with other antioxidants and phytonutrients found in fruits and vegetables – than traditional vitamin supplements.
http://rsi.juiceplus.com

From:	Michelle Goldstein
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis Proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 5:58:09 PM

Dear Council Members,
I am extremely concerned about the renewed interest in the Sares Regis proposal of additional housing. We have not addressed any of the concerns that residents spoke out about last time Sares Regis proposed adding new housing units.
- traffic
- already over crowded schools
- drought
- infrastructure, police, fire

The council has been supportive of the residents' concerns and rejected similar proposals in the past. I urge you to continue to stand that ground and not approve any more housing projects until these issues have been addressed and we understand the impact of the new housing units that are currently under construction.

Thank you for your continued support.

Michelle Goldstein
876 Polaris Ave

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Bill King
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	I oppose any additional high-density residential units
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 5:47:56 PM

Dear Foster City Council

I am a resident and house owner of Foster city for for last 10 years.

I oppose any additional high-density residential units until all previously approved construction projects are completed and we can evaluate their impact on Foster City's overcrowded schools, traffic congestion, and limited potable water resources.

Respectfully

Zhenyu

650-888-8215

From:	Paul Nieberding
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Pilgrim Additions
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 5:23:47 PM

Vote NO!
Let the dust settle on what has already been approved.

Paul Nieberding

From:	Sarah Bunje
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Phase C Pilgrim-Triton project
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 4:47:46 PM

Dear City Council,

Enough is enough, you have allowed three very large condo/apartment complexes to be built in my neighborhood that overshadow our area and look down on my home. The traffic is terrible and we don't need any more in this area.

Please do not vote for this project to go forward.

Sarah C Bunje
108 Goldhunter Ct
Foster City, CA 94404

From:	Danny Gan
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Objection to Sares Regis housing proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 4:47:32 PM

Dear Foster City council members:

We all know that FC is under serious congestion problems due to irresponsible housing planning. School, traffic, safety, public infrastructures, and other population growth related issues are getting worse.

During the last council election, all candidates promised not to approve any more housing units until all the current constructions are completed, and the city needs to evaluate the impact of all the newly added housing units. Please keep the campaign promise.

Thank you,

Danny Gan

From:	IvyGoal
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposing new housing in Foster City
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 4:44:42 PM

My name is Zhi Ni. My wife is Renee Zhou. We live at 719 San Miguel Ln, Foster City, CA. Traffic is so bad in and out of Foster City.

Thank you!

Nick Ni
(650) 235-4198 nick@ivygoal.com
2743 S El Camino Real, San Mateo Ca 94403

From: gscummings
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Sares Regis Proposal
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 3:52:49 PM

To the City Council of Foster City:

This can not be happening again! The issues of traffic congestion, which have progressively had a negative affect our lives each and every day, water use, over-crowded schools, etc. still very vividly apply. We absolutely need to stop new housing approvals until we can see the effects of the multitude of units already being built. Going down this road will continue to erode the quality of life for all of you and for the citizens of this community we love. The quote by Edward Abby "Growth for the sake of growth is the ideology of a cancer cell" can not be reiterated enough. I hope you will listen to the very loud voice of the citizens of Foster City as you have on other housing proposals in the past.

With much concern.
Sharon Cummings
709 Anacapa Lane
F.C.

From:	yanxia Hao
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Stop Sares Regis"s proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 3:15:05 PM

Dear Council,

I'm strongly against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.
PLEASE DON'T LET GREED RUINING OUR BEAUTIFUL CITY OF FOSTER CITY!!!

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,
Jenny Hao

From: jaraj88@gmail.com on behalf of Issa
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Proposed New Housing Project
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 3:05:20 PM

Dear City Council,

I am extremely disturbed to find out that our city is even considering any more construction projects. Since I have purchased my house, I have seen Foster City grow enormously in the past thirteen years. It used to to be a tranquil, beautiful community and has transformed into a typical urban, overpopulated city with lots of extremely ugly high rise buildings.

We moved from San Francisco 13 years ago to escape from the hustle and overpopulation of the city. We wanted to raise our children in quieter area that had a sense of community. Although I do realize that change is inevitable, I strongly believe these changes are happening way too fast without any regard or consequences of the community. Why would you have a meeting during the summer when so many of the ones who will be affected are away on vacations? This is a serious matter and it's quite unfortunate that much of the community will not be here in person to attend the meeting so that we are represented wholly. It's also puzzling to me how a panel of just five people can make crucial decisions that will impact an entire city. This is a topic that should be voted on by the community by ballot. Considering that some of the city council members have a thriving business in the community, it would be in their best interest to approve these projects because it will generate more revenue for them.

There are already lots of current problems in the community--one being overpopulated schools. I have two small children in elementary school. When I went to register them at Audubon, I was told that they only had room for one child and the other one would be placed on a waiting list. I was informed that they would find her a space for my child but it might not be in Foster City. Seriously? I am a home owner and a tax payer and was not guaranteed a spot in the school where my child can walk to class everyday. I was transferred to another school that was unfortunately not in Foster City. I was forced to take both of my kids there because I did not want to drop off my child to two different schools. I was later informed that there was some transfers that were accepted and they are guaranteed a spot because they came before me. You can imagine my frustration. I was forced to send my child to another school in the San Mateo district. Due to traffic it takes me over 35 minutes a day to commute to school, battling traffic on Highway 92. It is extremely inconvenient, but I can not pull my children out of the school now because they are adjusted to their school and have made friends. I see lots of buildings and little shops being built, but I don't see a plan for expanding the schools. Where do you plan on putting all of these children that will be coming in? Is it possible to use some of this space to possibly build another school or even better build a high school that this community so desperately needs?

Another concern mentioned previously is the traffic. It should not take me 30-40 minutes after work just to take the Hillsdale exit off of 101. Is anyone planning for or thinking about any emergency exits in the case of a national disaster? If you have ever spent Fourth of July in Foster City you can imagine the massive amounts of people trying to leave the city after the fireworks show. Imagine that times exponentially multiplied if there were to be a national disaster. It makes me extremely uncomfortable.

I realize that these building do bring tax dollars to the city, but I strongly urge that you reconsider the decision to build any more apartment complexes before finding out what these already built communities will do to our city. Most of these buildings are not rented to full capacity yet, I don't want to imagine how our quality of life will be altered after they are.

I hope you will hear out my concerns seriously, as many people in our community share the same viewpoint.

Kindly,

A very concerned Foster city resident residing
Port Royal Ave.

From:	Jon Miller
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please Deny Sares Regis's Re-submitted Request to Add 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:51:05 PM

Please follow through on your campaign and/or post-election promise(s) to NOT approve any additional housing units until we can fully assess the effects of those under construction on our worsening traffic jams, increasingly crowded schools, and the sad loss of the esthetic value and quality of our community.

Please deny Sares Regis's re-submitted request to add 68 town homes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C.

Jon & Shirley Miller
1147 Blythe FC
860-349-4106

From:	Michelle Cabral
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO more Housing
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:48:19 PM

Please do not allow Sares Regis to swap out retail/parking space with additional townhomes. Along with that I am against any further building in Foster City until we can see the impact from projects already being built.

Mike & Michelle Cabral
Foster City Resident and Foster City Business Owner

Michelle Cabral
Central Printing Group
289 Foster City Blvd. Ste B
Foster City, CA 94404
P: 650.548.0918
F: 650.312.1085

From:	Michelle Cabral
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO more Housing
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:48:19 PM

Please do not allow Sares Regis to swap out retail/parking space with additional townhomes. Along with that I am against any further building in Foster City until we can see the impact from projects already being built.

Mike & Michelle Cabral
Foster City Resident and Foster City Business Owner

Michelle Cabral
Central Printing Group
289 Foster City Blvd. Ste B
Foster City, CA 94404
P: 650.548.0918
F: 650.312.1085

From: Yan Wiedenmann
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new housing in FC please!
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 2:47:20 PM

I am strongly against any more new housing units in FC. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

- A FC resident

From: Yan Wiedenmann
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new housing in FC please!
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 2:47:20 PM

I am strongly against any more new housing units in FC. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

- A FC resident

From:	zzeng
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please stop Sares Regis"s proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:35:39 PM

Dear council members,

I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal. We already have growing traffic issues on our major streets, adding more housing will only make things worse.
Thank you for taking the time to hear our concerns!

Sincerely,
Jean Zeng
FC Resident
1139 Blythe St.

From:	zzeng
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please stop Sares Regis"s proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:35:39 PM

Dear council members,

I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal. We already have growing traffic issues on our major streets, adding more housing will only make things worse.
Thank you for taking the time to hear our concerns!

Sincerely,
Jean Zeng
FC Resident
1139 Blythe St.

From: yilihl@gmail.com
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: against any more new housing units
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 2:33:54 PM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,

Yi

From: yilihl@gmail.com
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: against any more new housing units
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 2:33:54 PM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,

Yi

From: Steve
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new housing development
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 2:31:53 PM

I am against any more new housing units in Foster City due to crowdness and bad traffic. It will affect quality of life. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal and any proposal for new housing development.

Steven Zhang

From: Steve
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new housing development
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 2:31:53 PM

I am against any more new housing units in Foster City due to crowdness and bad traffic. It will affect quality of life. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal and any proposal for new housing development.

Steven Zhang

From:	Ying Sun
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Objection to build new housing unit at Pilgrims
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:28:31 PM

Dear Foster City Council,

Please veto the plan to build 68 housing units at Pilgrims-Triton Phase III proposed by Sares Regis.

I have been living in Foster City for two years and witnessed the huge changes just in two years.
New buildings could be seen at several places including

1. A few apartment buildings beside the PJCC
2. One hundred apartments on the south of McDonalds
3. Another apartment building is almost finished just on the north of One hundred apartments

This is a small city which can not afford huge populations. We need more open space along the lagoon. Foster City is an unique place, please do not let these concrete buildings fill the shoreline and the sky.

Thanks!

Ying Sun

From:	Ying Sun
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Objection to build new housing unit at Pilgrims
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:28:31 PM

Dear Foster City Council,

Please veto the plan to build 68 housing units at Pilgrims-Triton Phase III proposed by Sares Regis.

I have been living in Foster City for two years and witnessed the huge changes just in two years.
New buildings could be seen at several places including

1. A few apartment buildings beside the PJCC
2. One hundred apartments on the south of McDonalds
3. Another apartment building is almost finished just on the north of One hundred apartments

This is a small city which can not afford huge populations. We need more open space along the lagoon. Foster City is an unique place, please do not let these concrete buildings fill the shoreline and the sky.

Thanks!

Ying Sun

From:	Vinita Naik Tendulkar
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposition to plan for new housing in foster city
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:12:48 PM

Dear council members,
We oppose any additional high density residential unites until all previously approved construction projects are completed and we can evaluate their impact on Foster city's overcrowded schools, traffic congestion and limited water resources.
Adverse effects of high density housing on foster city are
1. Schools : Already overcrowded
2. Traffic : Already too bad
3. Water: Exacerbates our potable water shortage
4. Quality of life : Diminishes the charm that makes Fostercity the envy of bay area.

Thanks for your attention.

Regards

--
Cheers,
Vinita Naik Tendulkar
1105 Sprague Lane
Foster city.

From:	Vinita Naik Tendulkar
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposition to plan for new housing in foster city
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:12:48 PM

Dear council members,
We oppose any additional high density residential unites until all previously approved construction projects are completed and we can evaluate their impact on Foster city's overcrowded schools, traffic congestion and limited water resources.
Adverse effects of high density housing on foster city are
1. Schools : Already overcrowded
2. Traffic : Already too bad
3. Water: Exacerbates our potable water shortage
4. Quality of life : Diminishes the charm that makes Fostercity the envy of bay area.

Thanks for your attention.

Regards

--
Cheers,
Vinita Naik Tendulkar
1105 Sprague Lane
Foster city.

From:	FCCAA fccaa
To:	Foster City City Council; Gary Pollard; Charlie Bronitsky; Catherine Mahanpour; Herb Perez; Sam Hindi
Subject:	FCCAA urges you to stop Sares Regis" proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:08:24 PM

Dear Mayor and Council members,

Foster City Chinese American Association (FCCAA) represents the Chinese American community in Foster City. We have direct reach to more than 800 families who live in Foster City.

We understand that Sares Regis has come back with their proposal to revise the Pilgrims-Triton Phase C plan to build 68 townhouses, and it would be on the agenda of the August 01 council meeting. We are writing you on behalf of FCCAA to strongly urge you to discourage or stop Sares Regis from moving forward with this proposal.

Like all the other residents of Foster City, our members have made it loud and clear that no more housing units should be approved or encouraged until the impact of the current building boom is fully assessed and appropriately mitigated. We give credits to all the city council candidates that they heard this outcry loudly and clearly and all had promised to follow the will of the residents not to add more units to our already crowded city. Therefore, we have full trust in the current council that it will do the right thing and push back Sares Regis' proposal. We are writing to reiterate our position when it comes to new housing development in our city.

One argument put forth in favor of this proposal is that, if you don't go with the 68 housing units, then you have to live with an ugly high rise commercial/parking structure. This is an interesting argument that brings to mind two questions.

First of all, this seems to acknowledge that a high rise building is bad for Foster City. Then one must question why it was proposed in the first place. Whoever proposed and approved it did not seem to have in mind the best interest of Foster City and Foster City residents.

Second of all, is building more housing units the right solution to this mess? The answer is NO. It is absolutely a convoluted or twisted logic to force a bad option on the residents of Foster City in the name of avoiding another bad option. We have a new city council, and we have a capable planning commission. We trust that the council and the commission can work with Sares Regis to ensure that the planned commercial building would not violate the look and feel of Foster City. It might take some time, but with diligence and creativity, it's not impossible. Or one can simply ask, is there a need to build at all, especially given that it's acknowledged that this commercial building in the plan is a bad option for Foster City?

In summary, FCCAA does not want to see any more new housing units. We urge you to do your duty to represent the interest of Foster City residents.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Fang Wang, Tong Zhao, Betty Li and Laura Zhu

FCCAA
www.Facebook.com/FCCAA2015
United For A Better Foster City

From:	FCCAA fccaa
To:	Foster City City Council; Gary Pollard; Charlie Bronitsky; Catherine Mahanpour; Herb Perez; Sam Hindi
Subject:	FCCAA urges you to stop Sares Regis" proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:08:24 PM

Dear Mayor and Council members,

Foster City Chinese American Association (FCCAA) represents the Chinese American community in Foster City. We have direct reach to more than 800 families who live in Foster City.

We understand that Sares Regis has come back with their proposal to revise the Pilgrims-Triton Phase C plan to build 68 townhouses, and it would be on the agenda of the August 01 council meeting. We are writing you on behalf of FCCAA to strongly urge you to discourage or stop Sares Regis from moving forward with this proposal.

Like all the other residents of Foster City, our members have made it loud and clear that no more housing units should be approved or encouraged until the impact of the current building boom is fully assessed and appropriately mitigated. We give credits to all the city council candidates that they heard this outcry loudly and clearly and all had promised to follow the will of the residents not to add more units to our already crowded city. Therefore, we have full trust in the current council that it will do the right thing and push back Sares Regis' proposal. We are writing to reiterate our position when it comes to new housing development in our city.

One argument put forth in favor of this proposal is that, if you don't go with the 68 housing units, then you have to live with an ugly high rise commercial/parking structure. This is an interesting argument that brings to mind two questions.

First of all, this seems to acknowledge that a high rise building is bad for Foster City. Then one must question why it was proposed in the first place. Whoever proposed and approved it did not seem to have in mind the best interest of Foster City and Foster City residents.

Second of all, is building more housing units the right solution to this mess? The answer is NO. It is absolutely a convoluted or twisted logic to force a bad option on the residents of Foster City in the name of avoiding another bad option. We have a new city council, and we have a capable planning commission. We trust that the council and the commission can work with Sares Regis to ensure that the planned commercial building would not violate the look and feel of Foster City. It might take some time, but with diligence and creativity, it's not impossible. Or one can simply ask, is there a need to build at all, especially given that it's acknowledged that this commercial building in the plan is a bad option for Foster City?

In summary, FCCAA does not want to see any more new housing units. We urge you to do your duty to represent the interest of Foster City residents.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Fang Wang, Tong Zhao, Betty Li and Laura Zhu

FCCAA
www.Facebook.com/FCCAA2015
United For A Better Foster City

From:	Maryann CANTIERI
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Dense Housing
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:05:41 PM

This email is intended to advise the City Council of my feelings regarding the Sares Regis proposal.

I am TOTALLY against any additional housing development in Foster City. The over abundance of traffic and the school situation is critical. We will also need more retail space. I feel Foster City is OVER developed with high density housing at this time. Thank you. MaryAnn Cantieri
Email address mcantiei@comcast.net

Sent from my iPad

From:	Maryann CANTIERI
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Dense Housing
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:05:41 PM

This email is intended to advise the City Council of my feelings regarding the Sares Regis proposal.

I am TOTALLY against any additional housing development in Foster City. The over abundance of traffic and the school situation is critical. We will also need more retail space. I feel Foster City is OVER developed with high density housing at this time. Thank you. MaryAnn Cantieri
Email address mcantiei@comcast.net

Sent from my iPad

From:	Winnie Wong
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	please do not add more housing in Foster City
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 1:52:49 PM

Sares Regis is resubmitting their request to add
68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Your Action Needed

"How come Sares Regis is coming back before the Council, again?"
Answer: "Sares Regis never moved forward after their meeting in May 2015. They now want to reinitiate the proposal. Since the entitlement process was never started and the composition of the City Council has changed since the previous meeting, the item has been scheduled for Preliminary Development Review by the City Council before they start the formal review process so they can get feedback from the current council. The concept is same, although they have settled on requested 68 units instead of a range between 65 and 70."

From:	Winnie Wong
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	please do not add more housing in Foster City
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 1:52:49 PM

Sares Regis is resubmitting their request to add
68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Your Action Needed

"How come Sares Regis is coming back before the Council, again?"
Answer: "Sares Regis never moved forward after their meeting in May 2015. They now want to reinitiate the proposal. Since the entitlement process was never started and the composition of the City Council has changed since the previous meeting, the item has been scheduled for Preliminary Development Review by the City Council before they start the formal review process so they can get feedback from the current council. The concept is same, although they have settled on requested 68 units instead of a range between 65 and 70."

From:	Beth Yeh
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please stop Sares Regis"s proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 1:32:08 PM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,

Beth Yeh

From:	Beth Yeh
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please stop Sares Regis"s proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 1:32:08 PM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,

Beth Yeh

From: Betty Li
To: Foster City City Council
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 1:10:38 PM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,

Sent from Betty

From: Betty Li
To: Foster City City Council
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 1:10:38 PM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,

Sent from Betty

From:	Chas Sutton
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Proposal by the developer of Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C to increase the number of residential units
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 1:06:35 PM

Hello everyone.

I urge you to reject this proposal. The amount of new housing currently under construction will have a huge negative effect on traffic, schools and overall quality of life for current residents. Traffic and class overcrowding is already a big problem. A friend who lives about 100 yards from Foster City School has been told that her son has a 50% chance of being admitted to the school this year and may well have to be placed somewhere in San Mateo.

Thanks for listening,

Chas Sutton
519 Nottingham Lane.

From:	Chas Sutton
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Proposal by the developer of Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C to increase the number of residential units
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 1:06:35 PM

Hello everyone.

I urge you to reject this proposal. The amount of new housing currently under construction will have a huge negative effect on traffic, schools and overall quality of life for current residents. Traffic and class overcrowding is already a big problem. A friend who lives about 100 yards from Foster City School has been told that her son has a 50% chance of being admitted to the school this year and may well have to be placed somewhere in San Mateo.

Thanks for listening,

Chas Sutton
519 Nottingham Lane.

From:	haiyan shao
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Housing development in foster city
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 1:02:32 PM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,

Haiyan
From my mobile

From:	haiyan shao
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Housing development in foster city
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 1:02:32 PM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,

Haiyan
From my mobile

From:	Bei
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:55:32 PM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,

Bei Li, Ph D

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Bei
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:55:32 PM

Dear Council,

I'm against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Foster city is already very congested and it can not take any more development without a long term plan to improve public services such as schools and roads. Many residents share the same concerns. I hope the council will listen to the voice of the residents and reject any new development plans.

Best regards,

Bei Li, Ph D

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Norma Turturici
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:50:58 PM

To Foster City Council Members:

Please do not allow new housing developments of any kind to be constructed in Foster City. Request a moratorium on future housing.

Traffic congestion is major problem; water is concern with ongoing drought conditions in California; we will lose the original design and charm of Foster City; increased need for city services and schools.

Thank you.

Norma Turturici
Foster City Resident
Isle Cove

Sent from my iPad

From:	Norma Turturici
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:50:58 PM

To Foster City Council Members:

Please do not allow new housing developments of any kind to be constructed in Foster City. Request a moratorium on future housing.

Traffic congestion is major problem; water is concern with ongoing drought conditions in California; we will lose the original design and charm of Foster City; increased need for city services and schools.

Thank you.

Norma Turturici
Foster City Resident
Isle Cove

Sent from my iPad

From:	Herb Perez
To:	Xueyan (Sharon) Wang
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Please stop Sares Regis" proposal of building more town homes!
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:47:42 PM

Thank you for your input. As always I will continue to support our community. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 25, 2016, at 12:46 PM, Xueyan (Sharon) Wang <xwang720@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Dear Foster City Council Members,
>
> I am writing to you regarding the housing development plans in Foster city. My family has been living in this beautiful city since 1997 and greatly enjoying our life here. However, it become more and more painful driving into Foster City during the afternoon traffic hours! Watching those high density housing getting built up, I can't imagine what the traffic situation would become after those buildings fully occupied! I strongly oppose any more high density housing development in our city and ask you to make every effort on stopping the Sares Regis' proposal of building more town houses.
>
> Thank you for your time and consideration,
> Sharon Wang (A resident of Foster City)

From:	Herb Perez
To:	Xueyan (Sharon) Wang
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Please stop Sares Regis" proposal of building more town homes!
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:47:42 PM

Thank you for your input. As always I will continue to support our community. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 25, 2016, at 12:46 PM, Xueyan (Sharon) Wang <xwang720@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Dear Foster City Council Members,
>
> I am writing to you regarding the housing development plans in Foster city. My family has been living in this beautiful city since 1997 and greatly enjoying our life here. However, it become more and more painful driving into Foster City during the afternoon traffic hours! Watching those high density housing getting built up, I can't imagine what the traffic situation would become after those buildings fully occupied! I strongly oppose any more high density housing development in our city and ask you to make every effort on stopping the Sares Regis' proposal of building more town houses.
>
> Thank you for your time and consideration,
> Sharon Wang (A resident of Foster City)

From:	Xueyan (Sharon) Wang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please stop Sares Regis" proposal of building more town homes!
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:46:37 PM

Dear Foster City Council Members,

I am writing to you regarding the housing development plans in Foster city. My family has been living in this beautiful city since 1997 and greatly enjoying our life here. However, it become more and more painful driving into Foster City during the afternoon traffic hours! Watching those high density housing getting built up, I can't imagine what the traffic situation would become after those buildings fully occupied! I strongly oppose any more high density housing development in our city and ask you to make every effort on stopping the Sares Regis' proposal of building more town houses.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
Sharon Wang (A resident of Foster City)

From:	Prasad Tendulkar
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposition to plan for new housing in foster city
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:27:36 PM

Dear council members,

We oppose any additional high density residential unites until all previously approved construction projects are completed and we can evaluate their impact on Foster city's overcrowded schools, traffic congestion and limited water resources.

Adverse effects of high density housing on foster city are

1. Schools : Already overcrowded
2. Traffic : Already too bad
3. Water: Exacerbates our potable water shortage
4. Quality of life : Diminishes the charm that makes Fostercity the envy of bay area.

Thanks for your attention.

Regards
Prasad Tendulkar
501 Coos Court,
Foster City, CA

From:	Prasad Tendulkar
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposition to plan for new housing in foster city
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:27:36 PM

Dear council members,

We oppose any additional high density residential unites until all previously approved construction projects are completed and we can evaluate their impact on Foster city's overcrowded schools, traffic congestion and limited water resources.

Adverse effects of high density housing on foster city are

1. Schools : Already overcrowded
2. Traffic : Already too bad
3. Water: Exacerbates our potable water shortage
4. Quality of life : Diminishes the charm that makes Fostercity the envy of bay area.

Thanks for your attention.

Regards
Prasad Tendulkar
501 Coos Court,
Foster City, CA

From:	Lynsie Temple
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Pilgrim-Triton Townhouse proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:13:53 PM

As a 20 year resident of Foster City, I am concerned at the high density housing that has been already been built, and now the proposed additional housing.
I was attracted to Foster City by the carefully balanced planning of residences, parks, and shopping, In the past few years the additional high density housing has unbalanced our beautiful community.
The schools can no longer accommodate our children. The shopping is crowded.
 The traffic is already strained, and we have not yet seen the results of the new housing projects that have not been completed or filled with residents.
Stop approving additional residential units.
You are ruining our community.

Lynsie Temple
1433 Marlin Ave
Foster City

From:	Lynsie Temple
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Pilgrim-Triton Townhouse proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:13:53 PM

As a 20 year resident of Foster City, I am concerned at the high density housing that has been already been built, and now the proposed additional housing.
I was attracted to Foster City by the carefully balanced planning of residences, parks, and shopping, In the past few years the additional high density housing has unbalanced our beautiful community.
The schools can no longer accommodate our children. The shopping is crowded.
 The traffic is already strained, and we have not yet seen the results of the new housing projects that have not been completed or filled with residents.
Stop approving additional residential units.
You are ruining our community.

Lynsie Temple
1433 Marlin Ave
Foster City

From: Michael Dworkin
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Additional High-Density Residential Projects
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 12:01:11 PM

Dear City Council Members:

The approval of any additional high-density residential projects is ill-advised.

There are already a number of previously-approved projects and are under construction and/or nearing completion.

We need to evaluate the impact on Foster City's schools (already overcrowded) and traffic congestion (getting worse by the day--for example, try exiting 101 to Hillsdale (East) on weekdays between 5PM and 6:30 PM).

Perhaps City Council should better spend its efforts on attracting businesses to Charter Square (deserted and blighted) and Edgewater Place (where the dilapidated boardwalk is an accident waiting to happen and which the landowner refuses to maintain or repair) and which also has numerous vacancies. These shopping centers are beginning to look more like Detroit than Foster City.

Michael L. Dworkin
1405 Saint Kitts Lane
Foster City, CA 94404
650-573-9607
Cell: 415-609-6070

--
Michael L. Dworkin
MICHAEL L. DWORKIN and ASSOCIATES
465 California Street, Suite 210
San Francisco, CA 94104
Phone: 415-421-2500
FAX: 415-421-2560
Cell: 415-609-6070
www.avialex.com

From: Michael Dworkin
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Additional High-Density Residential Projects
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 12:01:11 PM

Dear City Council Members:

The approval of any additional high-density residential projects is ill-advised.

There are already a number of previously-approved projects and are under construction and/or nearing completion.

We need to evaluate the impact on Foster City's schools (already overcrowded) and traffic congestion (getting worse by the day--for example, try exiting 101 to Hillsdale (East) on weekdays between 5PM and 6:30 PM).

Perhaps City Council should better spend its efforts on attracting businesses to Charter Square (deserted and blighted) and Edgewater Place (where the dilapidated boardwalk is an accident waiting to happen and which the landowner refuses to maintain or repair) and which also has numerous vacancies. These shopping centers are beginning to look more like Detroit than Foster City.

Michael L. Dworkin
1405 Saint Kitts Lane
Foster City, CA 94404
650-573-9607
Cell: 415-609-6070

--
Michael L. Dworkin
MICHAEL L. DWORKIN and ASSOCIATES
465 California Street, Suite 210
San Francisco, CA 94104
Phone: 415-421-2500
FAX: 415-421-2560
Cell: 415-609-6070
www.avialex.com

From:	Yuchao Zhou
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	About the 68 new townhouse proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:00:59 PM

Hi,
I a, living in Foster City, my friends told me there is a new proposal for building 68 new town house in FC.
I don't think it is a good idea, we already have enough enough residential area and more and more small business is moving out.

The afternoon traffic at 101 Hillsdale exist is already horrible.

Please vote again it.

--
Yuchao

From:	Yuchao Zhou
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	About the 68 new townhouse proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:00:59 PM

Hi,
I a, living in Foster City, my friends told me there is a new proposal for building 68 new town house in FC.
I don't think it is a good idea, we already have enough enough residential area and more and more small business is moving out.

The afternoon traffic at 101 Hillsdale exist is already horrible.

Please vote again it.

--
Yuchao

From:	Margaret Zhang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Stop Sares Regis"s proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:00:09 PM

Dear city council,

For the better future of Foster City, for a reasonable life of our children, as a resident in Foster city, I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal!!!

Thank you

From:	Margaret Zhang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Stop Sares Regis"s proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:00:09 PM

Dear city council,

For the better future of Foster City, for a reasonable life of our children, as a resident in Foster city, I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal!!!

Thank you

From: Tong, Michael X - San Mateo, CA
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new houses in Foster City.
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 11:59:31 AM

I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Too crowded and more traffic problem.

From: Tong, Michael X - San Mateo, CA
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new houses in Foster City.
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 11:59:31 AM

I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Too crowded and more traffic problem.

From:	joanna zheng
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis Project, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:58:37 AM

Dear Council,

I am Foster City resident for more than 20 years. We do not want to see more housing development in Foster City. Foster City used to be quite and nice town to live, and please look at it now.. it is packed, and traffic is terrible.. Please stop the developer from moving forward. We do not need more house units in Foster City.

Thank you,

Joanna Zheng

From:	joanna zheng
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis Project, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:58:37 AM

Dear Council,

I am Foster City resident for more than 20 years. We do not want to see more housing development in Foster City. Foster City used to be quite and nice town to live, and please look at it now.. it is packed, and traffic is terrible.. Please stop the developer from moving forward. We do not need more house units in Foster City.

Thank you,

Joanna Zheng

From:	Lingyun Li
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Objection to Sares Regis development plan in FC
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:57:34 AM

Dear Council members:

I am a resident of Foster City since 2007. I am writing to you today to express my concerns regarding the Sares Regis development request to add 68 townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton. As a FC resident for 9 years, I've witnessed the over-crowding in our schools and worsening traffic conditions over the years. Until we have a solution for these issues, I don't think any more housing development in FC should be approved. Therefore, I sincerely urge you to stop the developer from moving forward with their request. Your attention and consideration on this matter will be greatly appreciated.

Regards,
Lingyun

From:	Lingyun Li
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Objection to Sares Regis development plan in FC
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:57:34 AM

Dear Council members:

I am a resident of Foster City since 2007. I am writing to you today to express my concerns regarding the Sares Regis development request to add 68 townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton. As a FC resident for 9 years, I've witnessed the over-crowding in our schools and worsening traffic conditions over the years. Until we have a solution for these issues, I don't think any more housing development in FC should be approved. Therefore, I sincerely urge you to stop the developer from moving forward with their request. Your attention and consideration on this matter will be greatly appreciated.

Regards,
Lingyun

From: Qinhh
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new houses please
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 11:57:28 AM

I am against new houses/townhouses/condo in foster city.

Heinz Qin
231 foresail ct
Sent from my iPhone

From: Qinhh
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new houses please
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 11:57:28 AM

I am against new houses/townhouses/condo in foster city.

Heinz Qin
231 foresail ct
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Yang Yang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more new housing in Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:57:01 AM

Dear City Council,

I just heard that the developer of Pilgrim Triton Phase C is trying to rezone this land in order to adding 50+ more new townhouse. As a residence of Foster City, I hope city council can REJECT the rezone proposal.

I really love this city, I like the way it looks like and I also wants to see the city grows and more people get the chance to live in our beautiful city. However, as many of us noticed, entering Foster City from both 101 south and north at 5-7 PM it's a totally disaster and adding more housing will only make the current situation worse.

Thanks,
Yang Yang

From:	Yang Yang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more new housing in Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:57:01 AM

Dear City Council,

I just heard that the developer of Pilgrim Triton Phase C is trying to rezone this land in order to adding 50+ more new townhouse. As a residence of Foster City, I hope city council can REJECT the rezone proposal.

I really love this city, I like the way it looks like and I also wants to see the city grows and more people get the chance to live in our beautiful city. However, as many of us noticed, entering Foster City from both 101 south and north at 5-7 PM it's a totally disaster and adding more housing will only make the current situation worse.

Thanks,
Yang Yang

From:	Charlotte Keefe
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Protesting Sares Regis" request to add 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:35:56 AM

Council Members,

This is an email protesting Sares Regis' request to add 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton. As a Foster City resident, I have experienced the added stress of increased housing. Traffic is unbearable, our schools have exceeded capacity, and our natural resources will not be able to support a larger population.

I am disappointed this proposal has returned after Foster City residents have already turned it down. The role of the council is to represent it's constituents, please pay attention to our requests.

Thank you for your kind consideration,

Charlotte Keefe
Sent from OWA on Android

From:	Charlotte Keefe
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Protesting Sares Regis" request to add 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:35:56 AM

Council Members,

This is an email protesting Sares Regis' request to add 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton. As a Foster City resident, I have experienced the added stress of increased housing. Traffic is unbearable, our schools have exceeded capacity, and our natural resources will not be able to support a larger population.

I am disappointed this proposal has returned after Foster City residents have already turned it down. The role of the council is to represent it's constituents, please pay attention to our requests.

Thank you for your kind consideration,

Charlotte Keefe
Sent from OWA on Android

From:	Melissa Pera
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:34:21 AM

I am writing to you to express my concern with the new proposal to add 68 townhouse in Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C.

Our family has lived in Foster City since 2002. I work in Sunnyvale 3 days a week while my husband commutes to San Francisco every day. For the last year, the traffic in and out of Foster City is unbearable during the evening commute. We try to find ways around Hillsdale Boulevard or Highway 92, but the number of cars trying to get into Foster City at night is horrible and it is going to get worse with all of the new housing. Until the current buildings are completed and the impact of the additional residents/cars is completely understood - I do not believe the council should be approving any additional housing.
In addition, the traffic within Foster City itself is troublesome. I give myself 10-15 minutes to get across our small town during commute times. I used to have my son ride his bike to practice or activities, but all of the cars at commute hours (with people not paying attention) is forcing me to drive everywhere.

We moved to Foster City because it is a safe town within reasonable commuting distance to SF and the Valley. All of this seems to be changing before our eyes.....

Respectfully,
Melissa Pera

From:	Melissa Pera
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:34:21 AM

I am writing to you to express my concern with the new proposal to add 68 townhouse in Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C.

Our family has lived in Foster City since 2002. I work in Sunnyvale 3 days a week while my husband commutes to San Francisco every day. For the last year, the traffic in and out of Foster City is unbearable during the evening commute. We try to find ways around Hillsdale Boulevard or Highway 92, but the number of cars trying to get into Foster City at night is horrible and it is going to get worse with all of the new housing. Until the current buildings are completed and the impact of the additional residents/cars is completely understood - I do not believe the council should be approving any additional housing.
In addition, the traffic within Foster City itself is troublesome. I give myself 10-15 minutes to get across our small town during commute times. I used to have my son ride his bike to practice or activities, but all of the cars at commute hours (with people not paying attention) is forcing me to drive everywhere.

We moved to Foster City because it is a safe town within reasonable commuting distance to SF and the Valley. All of this seems to be changing before our eyes.....

Respectfully,
Melissa Pera

From:	Kang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more housing project!
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:28:20 AM

Hi Dear Foster City,
I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal and any others!

Sincerely,
Kang Hu

1075 Shell Blvd, #4
Foster City,
650-823-2256

From:	Kang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more housing project!
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:28:20 AM

Hi Dear Foster City,
I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal and any others!

Sincerely,
Kang Hu

1075 Shell Blvd, #4
Foster City,
650-823-2256

From:	Joyce Lang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO MORE NEW HOUSING
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:22:22 AM

Joyce Lang
706 Nina Ln
Foster City, CA

To Foster City City Council,

I am writing the email to oppose the proposal of adding 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton. 730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area. **NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!**

Sincerely

Joyce Lang

From:	Joyce Lang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO MORE NEW HOUSING
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:22:22 AM

Joyce Lang
706 Nina Ln
Foster City, CA

To Foster City City Council,

I am writing the email to oppose the proposal of adding 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton. 730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area. **NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!**

Sincerely

Joyce Lang

From:	Baohua Huang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Regarding the Sares Regis"s housing proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:12:42 AM

Hi,

I am a resident of Foster City and I am writing to express my concern regarding the Sares Regis's housing proposal. Given the current traffic situation in and out of Foster City I am against any more new housing units.

Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Thanks
Baohua

From:	Baohua Huang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Regarding the Sares Regis"s housing proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:12:42 AM

Hi,

I am a resident of Foster City and I am writing to express my concern regarding the Sares Regis's housing proposal. Given the current traffic situation in and out of Foster City I am against any more new housing units.

Please stop Sares Regis's proposal.

Thanks
Baohua

From:	Kent Evans
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more housing
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:11:38 AM

Dear Foster City Council,

Please stop the housing madness.

Our experience is that traffic coming and going from Foster City is currently terrible. People coming home from work can easily wait for 10+ cycles of the light to get off the freeway.
Traffic coming and going to San Mateo is very difficult all afternoon and weekend. Since Foster City kids go to San Mateo high schools, this is very challenging for school events, sports practices, etc.

It is irresponsible to add housing when our traffic is already so bad. I think it was irresponsible to add the hundreds of housing units that are already in process in FC. I dread the additional traffic that is coming from those mistakes. I realize that whoever owns the land that we are talking about stands to make millions at our expense, but this is why you are supposed to represent us, the little guy, and not these 1%ers.

Please vote no for any new housing in Foster City.

Thank you,
Foster City Resident - Kent Evans

P.S. I realize that the 101 exit for Hillsdale is in San Mateo and not technically the responsibility of the Foster City Council, but please for the sake of our residents help them figure out how to time these lights better.

From:	Kent Evans
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more housing
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:11:38 AM

Dear Foster City Council,

Please stop the housing madness.

Our experience is that traffic coming and going from Foster City is currently terrible. People coming home from work can easily wait for 10+ cycles of the light to get off the freeway.
Traffic coming and going to San Mateo is very difficult all afternoon and weekend. Since Foster City kids go to San Mateo high schools, this is very challenging for school events, sports practices, etc.

It is irresponsible to add housing when our traffic is already so bad. I think it was irresponsible to add the hundreds of housing units that are already in process in FC. I dread the additional traffic that is coming from those mistakes. I realize that whoever owns the land that we are talking about stands to make millions at our expense, but this is why you are supposed to represent us, the little guy, and not these 1%ers.

Please vote no for any new housing in Foster City.

Thank you,
Foster City Resident - Kent Evans

P.S. I realize that the 101 exit for Hillsdale is in San Mateo and not technically the responsibility of the Foster City Council, but please for the sake of our residents help them figure out how to time these lights better.

From:	Tong Zhao
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Against new housing unit
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:07:27 AM

Dear Foster City Council Members,

As a Foster City resident, I don't like any more housing development in Foster City. Please stop the developer from moving forward.

Thanks a lot,
Tong

From:	Tong Zhao
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Against new housing unit
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:07:27 AM

Dear Foster City Council Members,

As a Foster City resident, I don't like any more housing development in Foster City. Please stop the developer from moving forward.

Thanks a lot,
Tong

From: Huifang Ni
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Not more any new building in Foster City
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 11:07:01 AM

I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal。

From: Huifang Ni
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Not more any new building in Foster City
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 11:07:01 AM

I am against any more new housing units. Please stop Sares Regis's proposal。

From:	xin chen
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis" housing proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 11:00:00 AM

Dear Foster City council members,

As a Foster City resident for 13 years, I am against the development of any more new housing units before we can address the issues of traffic and schools. I would appreciate you to vote NO for Sares Regis' new housing proposal.

Thanks!

Best,
Xin Chen

From:	ELIZABETH SHELTON
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Over building in Foster City
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:50:17 AM

As our representatives please do your part to take care of the residents of Foster City! We have enough high density building in Foster City!!! There is more to a community, home and life than the bottom line and more profit! The issues we already have such as over crowded schools, horrible traffic congestion, water issues etc already exist...with these projects not even finished it only promises to get worse! As a concerned resident of Foster City I am asking that you please consider the voice of the people that live here and not that of big business.
Thank You!
Elizabeth Lal

From:	Nicholas Yang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	We don"t want any more housing development
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:49:26 AM

Hello FC council,

I am writing to share my opinion regarding the recent update from Sares Regis planning to put more townhouses in Foster City. I would like to let you know that I am STRONGLY AGAINST any housing development plans in Foster City at the moment. Our roads cannot stand more traffic; our parks are full; our land has been used up to its limit but we still need a high school. There are many things we can do and the last of them is more housing.

Thanks,
Nicholas

From:	hongfei ge
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposition to over development
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:39:42 AM

We don't like any more housing development in foster city.

Hongfei Ge & Jianping Liang

From: KRISTI
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Just say "NO" to Sares Regis project!
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 10:35:34 AM

Council Members:

Regarding Sares Regis project request...

I will keep this short and simple...

NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!

Thank you,

Kristi Gabrielson
159 Shooting Star Isle
Foster City, CA 94404

From:	Y. Zhu
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposition to the Rezoning Proposal
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:31:51 AM

Dear City Councilmen and Councilwomen,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to a proposal to rezone the approved Phase C Plan. Traffic in Foster City has become so congested in the last few years, the city should stop approving more residential housing units.

Respectfully,

Yun Zhu
A Foster City Resident

From:	Connie Eisenberg
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	New construction
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:29:32 AM

Please do not allow the building of any more housing
until we know the effects of what currently is under construction!
Sadly, there is no adequate plan for infra structure i.e. More roads,
police, jail, emergency health center, Etc.

Connie Eisenberg
707 King lane
Foster City

Resident, not renter!

Sent from my iPad

From:	Wen Chi Lin
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more high Density Housing in Foster City
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:25:55 AM

Hi FC Council,

I am writing to express my poistion in the Fosteri City housing development. We do NOT like any more house development in Foster City. Our quality of life in this beatiful city has suffered from the worsen traffic situation, from the fewer and fewer service business, and from the beatiful skyline taken away by high-strucutured buildings. Please stop developers like Sares Regis to build more high desity housing in Foster City.

Thanks,

Wenchi Lin
20-year resident of Foster City

From:	David Hamaoui
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Building
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:16:11 AM

Please stop the madness of allowing building more apartments buildings / condos in Foster City.

David Hamaoui
President
DNH Realty Solutions
P.O. Box 8082
Foster City, CA 94404

Sent from my iPhone

From:	heather zhang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	concern about building townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:07:24 AM

Dear Foster city Council Members,

Our family as long term foster city residents really had concerns about recent requests of building town homes by Sares Regis. Considering the current traffic situation in foster city, we believe we have to stop the developers from moving any housing development in Foster city. Otherwise, the whole city suffered. We can not imagine the traffic situation when all the construction are completed in the recent future.

Please take responsible steps for the sake of Foster city residents,
Thank you so much,

Your sincerely,

Heather Zhang

From:	Herb Perez
To:	Gloria Dreon
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Subject: Edgewater Shopping Center Housing Project
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 10:01:51 AM

Thank you for your email.
There is no project scheduled for the shopping center.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 25, 2016, at 9:32 AM, Gloria Dreon <gdreon@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> My objections are the same; too much traffic, schools are crowded enough and
> we will lose the access to the water front AND LESS SHOPPING. It is a very
> bad idea. Gloria Dreon, 619 Plymouth Lane, Foster City, CA

From: 2garth@comcast.net
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Sares Regis is coming back?
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 9:55:22 AM

Council Members...

I oppose any new housing until we know the effects of what is currently being constructed!

Because...

- **730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area.**
- **This is classic Bait & Switch.**
- **Traffic study information is old and understated.** Sares Regis relies on a 2014 "preliminary" traffic analysis. That traffic study was not accurate and was discredited. This traffic study does **NOT** take into account the substantial impacts of the nearby Triton Pointe or Waverly projects, yet to be completed. The developer proposes that this additional development will result in less traffic.
- **The new 68 town homes are projected to generate 19 students.**
- **If children are not able to attend Foster City Schools** they would be driven in private vehicles for 2 round trips a day into San Mateo schools – drop off and then pick up. (3 round trips if there is a kindergartner and school-aged sibling).
- **These office-retail spaces were intended to provide more places to work, shop and eat.**
- These office-retail spaces were to offer more choices for residents as well as non-residents, all bringing tax dollars into Foster City**. And** the commercial parking garage was to serve as parking for the local residents at night.
- **The current City Council has consistently denied approval of additional housing** proposed by other developers, i.e. Edgewater Shopping Plaza and Marina Housing Project. Any sign that the Council might approve this proposal will set the stage for other developers to propose and insist on similar changes.
- T**his new request by Sares Regis is virtually identical to the Sares Regis request of 2015**, so the summary of the reasons the proposal should have been rejected by the City Council in 2015 will still apply.

The current Foster City housing projects are not even completed and the traffic in Foster City has already reached UNACCEPTABLE levels.

NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF
WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!

Sincerely,

Garth Gabrielson
159 Shooting Star Isle
Foster City, CA 94404

From: Steven Isler
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: New Housing
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 9:40:38 AM

No thanks, I "want a breather on new housing proposals!"

Kind Regards,

Steven L. Isler, *Esquire*
General Counsel & Tax Director
TaxCenters, Inc.
350 Townsend Street, Suite 308
San Francisco, CA 94107
Tel: 415.546.1135
Fax: 415.546.1140
isler@taxcenters.net
http://www.taxcenters.net

305 Suite 204 5-18-5 Ueno Taito-ku
Tokyo 110-0005 Japan
050-5806-3649
Tel: 050-5806-3649

〒110-0005 東京都台東区上野5-18-5-204 305 TaxCenters, Inc.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice in this communication (including attachments) is not intended or written by TaxCenters, Inc. to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein. The information contained in this message may be legally privileged and confidential. It is intended to be read only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed or by their designee. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are on notice that any distribution of this message, in any form, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and/or TaxCenters, Inc. by telephone at 415.546.1135 and delete or destroy any copy of this message.

Save the Earth, one page at a time. Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From:	SUNIL
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Townhouse at Pilgrim-Triton Ohase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 9:39:31 AM

Dear Foster City Council,

I strongly object to allowing more townhomes to be built in Foster City at Pilgrim-Triton. We are already overburdened with homes and traffic in the city. Adding more homes will reduce the quality of life in our city. I want to add my voice to those dissatisfied residents who see absolutely no advantage to adding more homes, higher density and unbearable traffic to Foster City.

Sunil Mehta
356 Thatcher Lane
Foster City, California.

From: Arie Bahbout
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: August 1st City Council Meeting
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 9:24:33 AM

To my Council members,

Please do not allow nor provide any additional Office / housing permits until all existing constructions are completed and occupied.

Our beautiful City is now already congested and impossible to get in and out of. We still have constructions that are still in progress Let's stop allowing over building on an already saturated Foster City until the infrastructure is in place and the impact evaluated!

Arie Bahbout
510 Hanbury lane
Foster City, CA 94404
A resident since 1974

Thank you

Arié

Arié Bahbout
Precision Digital Networks
559 Pilgrim Drive, Suite A
Foster City, CA 94404
(650) 572-8500 x106 Office
(650) 906-8410 Mobile
(650) 572-8565 Fax
E-Mail: arie@pdnusa.com
URL: http://www.pdnusa.com
PDN… Your Cloud Solution Provider, Microsoft and Network Security System Integrator.

From:	Pat Merrill
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	phyllisjanemoore@att.net
Subject:	No More Housing
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 9:17:11 AM

Dear Foster City Council:

This is to voice my objection to the development of ANY new housing in Foster City, whether via Soares Regis or any other developer. New housing in Foster City would cause even more harm to our community, as place I have called home for 27 years. More new housing would only lead to:

- Worsening traffic
- Reduced home values
- More noise & pollution
- More use of precious resources (e.g.,water, energy)
- Increased cost for infrastructure

And what benefit would more housing provide to the residents of Foster City? I can't think of a single benefit. Had voters known more about all that has already been approved, I am confident the voters in Foster City would have risen up and expressed their voices loud enough to have been heard--whether through building sensitivity among our elected council representatives or through ouster of councilman who were pro-housing, We already have made a mistake in allowing all the current construction, if Foster City has hopes of remaining an attractive community to live it, new housing can't be allowed,

See you August 1 and thanks for your service.

Patrick Merrill
921 Clipper Lane
Foster City, CA

From:	Phyllis Moore
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Honoring campaign pledges
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 9:16:56 AM

Dear Mayor Perez, and Councilmembers Hindi and Mahanpour,

Please do not encourage Sares Regis to move ahead with their proposal to swap out commercial/retail/parking for more townhomes. Communicate your intent to honor your campaign pledges from the November 2015 election. No more housing until we see the results of what is already built.

Mayor Perez: From your position paper at www.smartvoter.org . "The council on which I serve has not approved any new housing developments. Past councils approved these developments and we had no ability to affect that decision. I cannot opine or comment on their decision since it was their decision at that time and place and what they believed to be in the best interest of our community. However, I can say that my position has been consistent for the past four years. I have not voted to approve any new housing projects and will continue to refuse to."

Councilmember Hindi: From a Nextdoor post on September 16, 2015. "Housing: Whether it is Pilgrim Triton phase C or any other, we have to wait until all the projects that are in the pipeline have been completed so we can measure the actual impact on our schools, traffic, infrastructure and emergency services before approving any new housing."

Councilmember Mahanpour: From your position paper at www.smartvoter.org . "From day one, my platform has been based on my belief that we should not approve any additional housing developments until we have a better plan to respond to the issues that a growing population brings and we begin to implement such a plan. My stand on "no more housing developments" necessarily includes the proposal to place housing at Edgewater Plaza and the proposal to redevelop existing apartment buildings to add more units, as well as the Sares Regis proposal to swap out the approved retail space for housing. As your councilmember, I would vote "no" on all of these proposals."

Thank you in advance for honoring your pledges to your constituency.

Respectfully yours,

Phyllis Moore

1019 Monterey Avenue

From:	Hend Bunni
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis plans
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 8:54:52 AM

This e mail is to confirm that we are against the Sares Regis plan development. Thank you.

Hend Bunni
1028 Avalon Ave
Foster City, Ca 94404
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Miles Goldstein
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	D M Goldstein (yahoo)
Subject:	68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 8:47:06 AM

Dear City Council members -

Please add my voice to those opposed to this Sares Regis request. As I (and hundreds of our neighbors) have said in the past, we simply cannot take on another housing project in Foster City:
- we are still waiting to understand the impact of the hundreds of units being completed
- we still have not resolved the school crisis
- we are still in a drought
- we still have not addressed the traffic problems

You have all supported and impressed the Foster City community in the past with your thoughtful response to proposals like this, including flat-out denying some (Edgewater Plaza comes to mind). Please do not let Sares Regis take advantage of a "new Council" to push through this project.

Thank you all.

(David) Miles Goldstein
876 Polaris Ave, Foster City

From:	Frank Ho
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Stop high density housing in Foster City
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 8:27:26 AM

Dear City Council,

I want to express my views on the upcoming meeting agenda with high density housing projects. I am oppose to add / approve any additional high density housing projects in Foster City. I have noticed there are several projects that are complete or on going in FC in the last 12 months. We need to do all due diligence on new studies on the impacts to schools, traffic, environment and etc. We can't base on reports from several years back as the conditions have changed since.

I have 2 big concerns with any additional high density housing projects. The first is traffic. I have noticed in the last 12 months, the traffic congestion at the Hillsdale off ramp for both 101 north and south is getting worse. The traffic back up on 101 North / Hillsdale exit starts as early as 2:30pm and sometime last past 7:30pm. By adding more apartments / town homes to Foster City, the congestion will only get worse. It's frustrating to sit for 20 minutes to simply exit from 101. Until we can relieve the traffic congestion at the 101 on / off ramps, we should hold off on additional housing projects. Add more housing projects will only add more cars. I have the luxury of flexible schedule that allows me to leave work early and work in the afternoon. But even coming home around 3pm, I sometimes have to sit at the off ramp for 10 minutes from 101 to Hillsdale. I am afraid the traffic congestion will only get worse and won't improve with additional apartment / town homes.

My 2nd concern is school. I lived about 200 yards away from Foster City Elementary. And it will be a travesty if my kids reached the age for kindergarten, only for them to be bused to other schools in the San Mateo school district because of too many kids in the Foster City school due to the high density housing units. One of the reason we moved to the current location is the ability for my children to walk to school and also the quality of the schools. If my kids cannot attend the Foster City schools and not able to walk to school, then there's no more reason for my family to stay here. I may as well move to another city that can afford my kids the quality of schools that Foster City has and while providing them the opportunity to commute to school on foot.

Please reconsider approving any new high density housing projects. Adding new units will not decrease housing density, reduce traffic, or lower the density at the schools. It will only increase the traffic volume, and push out kids that are eligible to the Foster City schools, Whatever the positive impact the housing units can provide will be more than offset by the negative impact to the community.

One last thing, adding more housing units will make Foster City lose its charms. The summer concert series will no longer be enjoyable because of too many residents attending the concerts. It may drive residents away from this great city and move to other cities.

Thank you for your time.

Frank

From:	E.K. Koh
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 7:46:54 AM

Dear Council member

I am writing to object to this proposal

As I understand, this proposal was rejected previously. Why then should we entertain a resubmission?

There are already lots of new condo development happening, and the impact on schools and traffic is unknown.

Before we approve more condos, please help current residents understand how it can help the city, and how it adds to the quality of life for existing residents.

Thank you

Sincerely
EK Koh
Home owner, Plum Island
Foster City

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ming
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Objection to those greedy people from Sares Regis
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 7:39:01 AM

As a Foster city resident I represent my family and cry out to foster city council to STOP those greedy people from Sales Regis!!! They had made up so many excuses to make money by depriving current residents' rights and resources. Please help us kick them out and protect this nice community!

They are purely greedy business man care nothing about the traffic, the school capability and population density of this small city.

Thank you for representing us and protecting this community!

Ming Yu

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ray Seewer
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	New Housing
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 7:29:48 AM

NO MORE NEW HOUSING IN FOSTER CITY!! We have lived here since 1996 and already the traffic and schools are affected by all the new housing that has been constructed.
Signed: Ray and Joanna Seewer
118 Shooting Star Isle

From:	Ashley Koh
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO MORE HOUSING IN FOSTER CITY!!!
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 6:26:27 AM

Hello,

I have grown up in Foster City ever since 1997.

Foster City is my home, and holds many of my childhood memories. What I liked most about Foster City was its small-town feel, where children could go outside and play safely, without worrying too much about cars.

Foster City was a tight-knit community that was safe, clean, quaint, and perfect.

Over the pass 4 years, I have seen significant construction all throughout the Bay Area, including Foster City. I have noticed that traffic has gotten significantly worse as more condos are being built in the city. Unfortunately, more condos are still being built, and many of them have not even finished construction.

It already takes my mom 45 minutes to get from the Redwood City Exit to the Foster City Exit on 101 everyday on her way to and from work. This drive use to take her only 20 minutes.

Yes, brining in more people to the city will generate money, but WHAT ABOUT QUALITY OF LIFE!?!??!?! Does the QUALITY OF LIFE of the current residents of Foster City not matter anymore?

Traffic is not the only issue that more condos and people will affect. More people also means more crowded living areas, larger class room sizes, smaller parks, longer commutes, longer waiting lines in the supermarkets, and restaurants, etc. We will also face a lack of resources, such as water, and electricity!

WE CANNOT KEEP BUILDING!!! THIS IS RIDICULOUS!!!!

We need to protect the current residents of Foster City who have already given so much time and money to the city. Money is not the only thing that goes round.

Thank you,
Ashley Koh

From: Annie Huang
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Opposition to Sares Regis" proposal at Pilgrim-Triton
Date: Monday, July 25, 2016 2:07:26 AM

Dear Council Members,

I am writing to oppose the proposal by Sares Regis to add 68 townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C. It is my understanding that Sares Regis is resubmitting essentially the same proposal as they did last year, which did not move forward after the City Council meeting in May 2015. Given that the makeup of the City Council has changed since then, Sares Regis is trying again. The City Council should not allow such a project to move forward, and should emphatically say so.

Foster City has had many development projects since we moved here five years ago, and we have not liked the changes. It seems like there are ever-more-frequent proposals to further develop in this city. The City Council should stop all developments and first assess the impact of those developments that recently came on the market or about to go on the market. Traffic in this city has become terribly congested in the last five years. This is not a big city, and yet we have packed more and more people here. It is incredible to me that during the week, it takes me about 20 minutes every evening to go from the Hillsdale exit to my house near the Edgewater shopping center. With more developments, this can only get worse.

Also, there is the issue of the schools. We have young children. The schools in Foster City simply cannot take more students without the quality of the education suffering. When you pack more and more kids into the same amount of space, what can you reasonably expect?

We moved to this city for the quality of life, and that quality of life worsens with each development the City Council approves. We therefore ask that the City Council not approve Sares Regis' current proposal, which is scheduled to come before you on August 1, and to hold off approving any developments until the full impact of the newly developed residential and office/retail buildings can be assessed. Blindly approving one development proposal after another will surely lead this city down a path we will soon regret.

Respectfully,
Annie Huang

From:	Petroski
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis request
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 2:03:49 AM

Dear Friends at Foster City Council,

I request that you deny the request of Sares Regis to add 68 townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C.

I am very concerned that this additional development will adversely affect the quality of life for all Foster City residents.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Tom Petroski
893 Carina Lane
Foster City

From:	Barbara Corey
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	"Sares, Regis" proposal reinitiated
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 1:15:29 AM

To: Foster City Council Members

I am writing this e-mail to let you know my concerns regarding new information about the Sares Regis Proposal.

Being aware of this, I feel it should not be reconsidered for approval. First of all, the petition for 2015 that over 2,000 of us signed, was an attempt to halt any new development projects from that point on, until there was sufficient time to determine the effect of the current projects that were previously approved. The council approved to accept the proposal. Now, Sares Regis wants to continue going forward with their same plans.

I understood, that this project was stopped for all intensive purposes, yet it sounds like there is a possibility that the City Council is thinking of possibly allowing it to go forward. If this is the case, many of us will be highly disappointed. We had determined that the impact of approved projects could not be stopped, and therefore, needed to have them play out in order to see the impact they would have to city's traffic situation, infrastructure and schools, etc. Now, if this is being reconsidered, and the original use for office space, retail space and the parking lot area is being questioned, makes one wonder what is going on. The City had denied this once already, and had determined that there would not be any new housing in those areas.

If the City Council does not reject the Sares Regis proposal, it may set a precedent for the Edgewater Center, and the Marian Housing Projects to insist on similar changes. Please consider, very carefully, what could, or very likely, would, be the outcome of such a decision, and act with the best interests of the city and its residents.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter.

Sincerely,
Barbara Corey

From:	Sergey Sharonin
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Our opinion: No More Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton
Date:	Monday, July 25, 2016 12:32:50 AM

Dear City Council members,

I have heard that Sares Regis is resubmitting their request to add
68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C.
Our family raise our voices against this classic Bait & Switch trick.
Our common opinion is: Foster City does not need more houses without resolving traffic and school capacity issues.
We do not want to lose more our time in traffic jams on Hillsdale and 101 exits. We do not want to see education quality degradation.

We hope that City Council pay attention to our opinion and take into consideration our point of view.

Thank you!

Best regards,

Sergey Sharonin,
resident of Foster City
(673 Libra Lane)

From:	svetlana tsenter
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	no new housing in FC
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 11:26:55 PM

We do not want any new housing built in Foster City. Traffic in and out of FC is terrible. Schools are crowded.
Our town is a nice place to live, we want it to keep being this way.

From:	Jan Brown
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 11:11:02 PM

Dear Members of the Foster City City Council:

I will make this short...do NOT let Sares Regis build or add on more housing!

Enough already!

Thank you!
Jan Brown
FC Resident

From:	chang zhong
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more new housing plan
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:53:29 PM

Dear Council Members,

I learned that you will consider several new housing projects in the next council meeting. I strongly support no more new housing plan in Foster City. We are very crowd now, and my life has been badly impact by the traffic into Foster City. Please stop the developer from building more houses.

Regards,
Chang Zhong

From:	kitayama darryl
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	no more housing
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:40:20 PM

please. enough is enough. our schools are over capacity. our streets are becoming a nightmare,and soon accidents will become the norm rather than an infrequent occurence. please keep these developers from further ruining our great city. Darryl Kitayama MD

From:	Seth Goldberg
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Additional 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:36:29 PM

(Resending — apologies if the last transmission was garbled).

Dear City Council Members,

I am strongly against the addition of 68 new housing units at Pilgrim-Triton Phase C. There have already been a very high number (730) of housing units in the small 20-acre site, which is already bursting at the seams. Additionally, the traffic study that went with the original proposal is horribly outdated and grossly understated. Furthermore, the additional residents will place yet another massive burden on the already overflowing Foster City schools that are already bouncing students to schools in San Mateo. I urge you to deny this classic bait-and-switch proposal and to hold the developer to their original plan.

Respectfully yours,
Seth Goldberg
308 Shad Court

From:	Aaron & ChiYin
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO MORE HOUSING!
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:24:43 PM

I just read about new housing proposals for Foster City. We've endured enough - please, no more housing!

Our schools are over crowded, and traffic is out of control. It can take 40+ minutes to get our girls home from daycare near 25th and el camino back to Marina Point. Some mornings it can take 20 minutes to get there. The traffic will only get worse with more housing development. We don't even know if there will be space for our girls in our own public schools.

Please no more housing.

Thanks,
Aaron and ChiYin Krause
510-910-0451

From:	Betty Lee
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	Betty Lee
Subject:	Please no more new housing 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:19:21 PM

Dear council members:

We have serious traffic problems in Foster City these few years and the time to go to Hwy 101 and 92 are getting longer and longer.

From San Mateo to Foster City, it took at least 30 mins during 4-7pm for 3 miles.

The schools are totally out of space.

We cannot afford 68 townhomes at pilgrim-Triton any more. Solve our traffic and schools congestion first before adding more residents in Foster City.

Regards,

Betty

From:	Feng Meng
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO MORE NEW HOUSING
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:13:45 PM

We oppose any additional high-density residential units until all previously approved construction projects are completed and we can evaluate their impact on Foster City's overcrowded schools, traffic congestion, and limited potable water resources.

If you don't know how bad the situation is, please just try entering Foster City from highway 101 at 5:30pm during weekdays. It is ridiculous right now and people want to make it even worse? **THIS MAKES NO SENSE**!

Regards,
Feng

From:	jboess@comcast.net
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	phyllisjanemoore@att.net
Subject:	NO MORE NEW HOUSING in Foster City. August 1st City Council Meeting
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:13:29 PM

Dear Council Members: I am a 30 year Foster City resident. I am strongly opposed to any further development in our city. All of my freinds and neighbors feel the same way. That is why 1,500 of us showed up to object to the development of the Edgewater Shopping Center.

Foster City is built out. If biotech companies want to build, please send them to Tracy or Stockton where there is plenty of affordable housing. If developers want to build high density housing, please send them packing.

We live in Foster City because we do not want to live in San Francisco. The voters demand that you do not make our town another dense urban center.

John Boessenecker
1001 Jamaica St.
Foster City, CA 94404

From:	Atish Patankar
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis request to add townhomes
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:11:05 PM

Hello,

Sares Regis is resubmitting their request to add
68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C. I am against this development since we haven't yet understood the effects of all the new development that was approved recently.

Best,
Atish

Sent from my iPhone

From:	MAJR99@aol.com
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	Charlie Bronitsky; Sam Hindi; Catherine Mahanpour; Herb Perez; Gary Pollard
Subject:	Plan for MORE NEW HOUSING in Foster City
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:44:41 PM

No new housing.

I recommend to put moratorium (at least temporally) on any new multi units housing in Foster City.
The city is overbuild and any additions will decrease not only value of our properties,
but will decrease the value of our living and of our lives.
I would also recommend to declare all the land along the shores of bay in Foster City as natural sanctuary
with provision, that there can never be build any man made structures.
Sincerely,

John Hlousek
900 Schooner St.
Foster City, Ca-94404
Cell : 650-288-8554
e-mail: majr99@aol.com

From:	Ajay Godbole
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please vote no on Sares Regis
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:03:35 PM

From: Ajay Godbole
881 Cabot Lane,
Foster City, CA 94404

Dear City Council Member,

Please reject the proposal from Sares Regis to add 68 new townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C. for the following reasons:

- **Because 730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area.** See map at: http://tinyurl.com/fcrrd2 Adding more housing there will increase density, not lower it. Retaining the office-retail will break up the housing density.
- **This is classic Bait & Switch.** Have approval for office and retail space, and then switch to the more lucrative residential housing.
- **Traffic study information is old and understated.** Sares Regis relies on a 2014 "preliminary" traffic analysis. That traffic study was not accurate and was discredited. This traffic study does **NOT** take into account the substantial impacts of the nearby Triton Pointe or Waverly projects, yet to be completed. The developer proposes that this additional development will result in less traffic.
- **The new 68 townhomes are projected to generate 19 students.** This is in addition to the rest of the Pilgrim-Triton Master Plan developments with 145 new students. The School District wrote to both the City of Foster City and the developer on March 12, 2015: "Currently the SMFCSD is experiencing enrollment growth and the schools in Foster City have reached capacity. We cannot confirm enrollment in a Foster City school, only a school within the SMFCSD." Elementary students are already being "*overflowed*" out of Foster City into San Mateo.
- **If children are not able to attend Foster City Schools** they would be driven in private vehicles for 2 round trips a day into San Mateo schools – drop off and then pick up. (3 round trips if there is a kindergartener and school-aged sibling).
- **These office-retail spaces were intended to provide more places to work, shop and eat.**
- These office-retail spaces were to offer more choices for residents as well as non-residents, all bringing tax dollars into Foster City**. And** the commercial parking garage was to serve as parking for the local residents at night.
- **If the City does not approve of the change, Sares Regis may decide NOT to build the office-retail space and the parking structure.** It may be postponed, or abandoned all together. But this is not an either-or choice. Even if the developer decides to build the office-retail and parking structure, the City can control the building heights and details of the design.
- **The current City Council has consistently denied approval of additional housing** proposed by other developers, i.e. Edgewater Shopping Plaza and Marina Housing Project. Any sign that the Council might approve this proposal will set the stage for other developers to propose and insist on similar changes.

This new request by Sares Regis is virtually identical to the Sares Regis request of 2015, so the

summary of the reasons the proposal should have been rejected by the City Council in 2015 will still apply. This summary can be accessed at: http://cushmansite.com/cgi/master reasons.pdf

Thanks
Ajay Godbole

From: Debra Allison
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Sares Regis
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 8:38:26 PM

To whom it may concern. I urge you to vote no on the proposal to build more housing units in Foster City. There should be no more new housing units until we know the effects of what is currently being constructed.

Thanks,
Debra Allison
1231 schooner st
Foster city, ca
94404

Debra's Spanish Tutor Website
tinyurl.com/SpanishTutor

From:	Svetlana Sicular
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please reject the housing proposal by Sares Regis
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 8:22:56 PM

Dear City Council,

As a Foster City resident since 2000, I asking you to you to reject the proposal in your consideration submitted by Sares Regis for the August 1st meeting. I am not alone in my desire to preserve Foster City and make it reasonable from the traffic and schools' perspective. Our schools and traffic, as well as the quality of life and the value of our properties will decrease with new housing proposal. As the first planned community of California, Foster City is declining : the public spaces are dilapidated (I do not see much progress on renewing them) and it seems, there is no hope to restore it, while their owners try to turn them into yet more housing. As a result, Foster City is losing to the adjacent cities, and multiple promises of a vibrant and attractive neighborhood do not come to fruition.

Below are some of the reasons I oppose the proposed change:

- **Because 730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area.** See map at: http://tinyurl.com/fcrrd2 Adding more housing there will increase density, not lower it. Retaining the office-retail will break up the housing density.
- **This is classic Bait & Switch.** Have approval for office and retail space, and then switch to the more lucrative residential housing.
- **Traffic study information is old and understated.** Sares Regis relies on a 2014 "preliminary" traffic analysis. That traffic study was not accurate and was discredited. This traffic study does **NOT** take into account the substantial impacts of the nearby Triton Pointe or Waverly projects, yet to be completed. The developer proposes that this additional development will result in less traffic.
- **The new 68 townhomes are projected to generate 19 students.** This is in addition to the rest of the Pilgrim-Triton Master Plan developments with 145 new students. The School District wrote to both the City of Foster City and the developer on March 12, 2015: "Currently the SMFCSD is experiencing enrollment growth and the schools in Foster City have reached capacity. We cannot confirm enrollment in a Foster City school, only a school within the SMFCSD." Elementary students are already being "*overflowed*" out of Foster City into San Mateo.
- **If children are not able to attend Foster City Schools** they would be driven in private vehicles for 2 round trips a day into San Mateo schools – drop off and then pick up. (3 round trips if there is a kindergartener and school-aged sibling).
- **These office-retail spaces were intended to provide more places to work, shop and eat.**
- These office-retail spaces were to offer more choices for residents as well as non-residents, all bringing tax dollars into Foster City**. And** the commercial parking garage was to serve as parking for the local residents at night.
- **If the City does not approve of the change, Sares Regis may decide NOT to build the office-retail space and the parking structure.** It may be postponed, or abandoned all together. But this is not an either-or choice. Even if the developer decides to build the office-retail and parking structure, the City can control the building heights and details of the design.
- **The current City Council has consistently denied approval of additional housing** proposed by other developers, i.e. Edgewater Shopping Plaza and Marina Housing Project. Any sign that the Council might approve this proposal will set the stage for other developers to propose and insist on similar changes.

This new request by Sares Regis is virtually identical to the Sares Regis request of 2015, so the summary of the reasons the proposal should have been rejected by the City Council in 2015 will still apply. This summary can be accessed at: http://cushmansite.com/cgi/master_reasons.pdf

Respectfully,

Svetlana Sicular
607 Plymouth Ln

From:	Randy Lee
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No More Housing
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 8:13:11 PM

No more housing. Traffic and schools are already a problem. It is not in the best interest of Foster City to build more housing. Please do the right thing and reject this.

Thanks,
Randy

Main 650-377-0800 ¦Cell: 650-773-7727 ¦eFax: 509-472-9425
Email: randy@preferredconnections.net ¦www.preferredconnections.net

From: Debbie Corazzelli
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: NO on more housing at Pilgrim-Triton!
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 7:40:49 PM

Dear Members of the City Council,

I received an email today alerting me that Sares Regis is resubmitting their request for another 68 townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton. I URGE you to deny their request for additional housing.

It strikes me that most of you do not have to deal with rush hour traffic on a daily basis. I work in Brisbane; in the evening rush hour, it takes me about 50 minutes to get to my home in The Islands. At least half of my commute starts at 3rd Avenue, which is already unacceptable. Yes, it takes 25 minutes to get from 3rd Avenue to the center of Foster City, with the crush of commuters trying to get to the San Mateo Bridge; they cut through all of the side streets of Foster City and while I recognize that can't be blamed on additional housing, there is already so much new housing that will just make getting in and around Foster City that much worse. What is going to happen to Shell Blvd. when Foster Square is finished? Probably another 20 minutes just to get down Shell to Catamaran. The area behind Chevron is already built up; the Tidelands is nearly complete across from the golf course—where are these people going to go for shopping, errands, and services? Probably into Foster City, until they realize how difficult it is to get parking at Safeway and Lucky and Ranch 99. The roads aren't wider, we have LOST dry cleaners, and the line at Comcast on the weekend is a mile long. We have chased away the only convenience store (excluding the ones attached to our few gas stations). Chalet Ticino, one of the few remaining owner-operated restaurants, is being forced out of business in the interest of development.

When I moved to Foster City in 1991, I believed there would be no additional growth. It was one of the things that brought me here—a small, mature town near a big city, beautiful scenery, and conveniences like clothes stores, a bicycle shop, an alterations shop, privately owned coffee shops with unique personalities, an affordable gym, a choice of several dry cleaners, and a variety of restaurants, including a few good fast food stops. That Foster City is gone; I am all for progress but adding so much new housing was not part of the original vision for Foster City. The infrastructure has not kept up. I ask you, where do you go when you want a good restaurant that features California cuisine? San Mateo? San Carlos? Burlingame? San Francisco? Not Foster City. Where do you go to see your doctor, or to have an outpatient procedure done? Redwood City? Burlingame? San Carlos? San Mateo? Not Foster City. Need a nice bottle of wine or a hostess gift? You're in luck —San Mateo is so close! Where do you meet friends for drinks? You get the idea.

More housing is just going to make a congested town and commute worse. I have worked in the South San Francisco/Brisbane area for almost my entire career; 20 years ago, I would take 280 south to 92 because it was the least congested route. Now, there is no good way to get into Foster City. My husband works in Sunnyvale. He takes a shuttle, but Hillsdale is backed up onto 101 south of the Mercedes dealership. Please don't make Foster City just another congested town where people can live but they have to leave if they want to shop or dine out or run errands or have healthcare.

Debbie Corazzelli
859 Balboa Lane
Foster City, CA 94404

From:	srfoxychic@comcast.net
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Building
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 7:28:41 PM

To all city council of Foster City,
Please help our City with no more building. It's impossible to get out of here now, schools overcrowded & not enough water for people already living here. What once was a great place to live, I'm hearing more people complaining about the above mentioned.
Thanks for your help. Shirley Rohe a resident of 42 yrs.

From:	Pamela Miller
To:	Jennifer Phan
Subject:	MMANC Symposium Certificate
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 7:06:44 PM
Attachments:	Phan.pdf

Hi Jennifer,

Thanks so much for attending the MMANC Summer Signature Symposium on July 13. Attached is your certificate of completion. I hope to see you at future events.

Regards,

Pamela

Pamela Miller
Miller Management & Consulting Group
http://www.millermcg.com
PMiller@millermcg.com
916-850-9271

NOTICE: This email message and/or its attachments may contain information that is confidential or restricted. It is intended only for the individuals named as recipients in the message. If you are NOT an authorized recipient, you are prohibited from using, delivering, distributing, printing, copying, or disclosing the message or its content to others and must delete the message from your computer. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by return email.

From: cswgobears@yahoo.com
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No more new housing
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 6:41:13 PM

I am writing as I'm opposed to further development of new housing in FC.
Traffic is already bad getting in and out of town so I don't want any more development as proposed for the Triton area.

Thank you.

Cora

From:	Dennis Milosky
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposition to Sares Regis proposal
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 6:31:18 PM

I want to register my opposition to any new residential developments in Foster City until all existing projects are complete and fully occupied.

Dennis Milosky
Please excuse typos; this was sent from my iPhone

From:	Nagraj Naidu
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	Nagraj Naidu
Subject:	RE: Sares Regis 2016 resubmission for Pilgrim Triton Phase 3
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 6:08:27 PM

Dear Mayor Perez and Council Leaders,

Kindly deny the request by Sares Regis to modify the original plan to add 68 town homes to Piligrim Triton Phase 3.

The plan was approved as a whole and a late stage piecemeal modification should not be allowed.

I believe that the city now needs a breather for any new development or development modifications until we understand the implications of traffic, crime, noise, standard of living, property values and other factors with recent high density construction - Foster Square, Piligrim Triton and Waverly.

Best Regards,

Nagraj Naidu
920 Haddock Street

From:	Cyndy Ong
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more Development in Foster City
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 5:32:35 PM

There are developments still being completed so there is no need to start more development projects. The current housing projects have already and will further affect traffic congestion and overcrowding in schools. Current FC residents already have to transport their kids to San Mateo schools in the already horrid bay area traffic so adding more people here is a major issue. It's also a disservice to those living here. The city is starting to look and feel overcrowded with these large housing units around. Any further development will only continue to further negatively impact already overcrowded schools, traffic and water conservation. We have yet to see if the allotted office/retail space will even bring businesses that will add variety to the town -- and businesses are critical to bring jobs and income to FC. The high rents of FC currently have already caused big businesses like Sony to move out of FC offices to San Mateo taking away jobs and income for FC. We need to stop allowing developers from continuing to build without looking at how it affects our town. Developers don't live here so they aren't concerned with maintaining the quality of life that has always been an important factor that made FC a great place to live.

From:	Dhaval B Shah
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	Bhavana Shah
Subject:	Opposition to more homes in Foster City
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 5:21:28 PM

Dear Council Members,

My name is Dhaval Shah and I have been a Foster City resident since 1999. I'm a registered voter.

I oppose any more housing (townhomes and apartments) approvals in Foster City until the effects of current housing projects is clearly understood.

Thank you.
Dhaval Shah
142 Albacore Lane
Foster City
CA 94404

From:	Patricia Courtney
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more housing!
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 5:14:57 PM

Please, please. Enough.

All of you must have noticed the increasing difficulty getting into/out of FC in the last couple of years. The quality of life has been steadily going downhill.

The impact on schools and the inability of emergency vehicles to get in or out quickly are both reasons to say no to more housing. This has totally gotten out of hand.

Please register me as a NO vote for more building in FC.

Thank you.

Patricia Courtney

From:	Frank Saunders
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	Foster City Residents for Responsible Housing
Subject:	Recommending a hold on further consideration of proposed new housing
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 5:06:03 PM

My message to you, members of the council, is simple and direct:

I urge you to **put on hold** and **refuse to move forward** on any proposal to build more housing **until** we have had a chance to experience and evaluate the effects of the **hundreds** of living units already approved and under construction.

We need to assess the real and daily effects on **traffic, schools and public services** of the developments already underway, rather than basing decisions on "projections of impact" and rosy anticipation of revenue.

Frank A Saunders
1431 Marlin Avenue, Foster City

From:	Jim Traceski
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No More Housing
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 4:23:53 PM

I was alerted to Sares Regis and their plans for Pilgrim, they must be denied.

Our community is not the same one I moved into twenty years ago, some change is good, but our infrastructure is strained, not enough schools, roads, parking. Stop the nonsense!!!!

Thanks
Jim Traceski

From:	Lan Jiang
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Say "NO" to Sares Regis" townhouse proposal, no more housing development in FC
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 4:02:11 PM

Dear Council members,

We are the residents of Foster City, I am writing now to express my opposition to Sares Regis's proposal to build 68 townhouse at Pilgrim-Trition. We do not want any more housing development in Foster City till current constructions are done and their impact on infrastructure, water, school ect be actually measured.

Please stop the developer from moving forward!

Thank you.

Lan Jiang
Zice Fu

From:	Hangjun Zhan
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposing additional housing development
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:58:27 PM

Dear Foster City council,
We write this email to express our objection to additional hosing development. Foster city is a well designed city with Foster's vision and it is no longer the same with added housing, lack of space for school. It is our trust to council members who stand up the external contractor's pressure and defend and maintain Foster's vision.
Thanks
Hangjun Zhan
Joyce Lee
Owners of
1109 Flying Fish St
Foster City

From: Blanche Media
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: PLEASE NO MORE HOUSING!
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:43:42 PM

Dear City Council,
I am just in awe that it is even a question of building more housing here in Foster City!
As it is there are only 2 exits to get in and out of the city, my commute to take the kids to school
has been hell on earth taking on average 45 minutes each way (never mind that there
isn't even a high school in this town to sustain this insane growth)
All of the food markets have bare shelves after 5:00 pm everyday, not even enough food to sustain this population. These buildings are mammoth,
destroying the aesthetics of what was once a peaceful and tranquil town.
Is this Foster City? Or is this Shanghai? I can only imagine how horrible it would be to try to leave this town in an emergency--
it would be virutally impossible. These developers can care less about the people of Foster City, it's all about how much money
they can pocket, and then they will leave to live in their own towns that are probably untouched by this madness.
Building more in this city defies all logic, if more gets built I can only assume that there is something shady going on in
local government to make this happen. I am sure if we follow the money trail we will get our answers. This is out of control.

Regards,
Blanche
Foster City Resident

From:	Carolyn Bunje
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	RE: more building in FC
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:35:20 PM

I vehemently oppose the building of any more high density buildings in Foster City. Presently, we do not really know the effect (of those units already built) on our city streets, traffic congestion, increase in noise, and crowding of our schools.
Foster City's geographic area cannot accommodate more people, cars, traffic, congestion and water usage. In the end, the bad effects of increasing the population will eventually lead to lower property values because no one will want to live in the constant traffic congestion.

My husband and I are 40 year residents of Foster City. We implore you not allow any more building in our town!! It is not needed. There are plenty of other rental units being built in San Mateo and the rest of the peninsula. Don't ruin our City!!!

Robert and Carolyn Bunje
349 Sailfish Isle
Foster City, CA

From:	Carolyn Bunje
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	RE: more building in FC
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:35:20 PM

I vehemently oppose the building of any more high density buildings in Foster City. Presently, we do not really know the effect (of those units already built) on our city streets, traffic congestion, increase in noise, and crowding of our schools.
Foster City's geographic area cannot accommodate more people, cars, traffic, congestion and water usage. In the end, the bad effects of increasing the population will eventually lead to lower property values because no one will want to live in the constant traffic congestion.

My husband and I are 40 year residents of Foster City. We implore you not allow any more building in our town!! It is not needed. There are plenty of other rental units being built in San Mateo and the rest of the peninsula. Don't ruin our City!!!

Robert and Carolyn Bunje
349 Sailfish Isle
Foster City, CA

From:	James Wu
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:33:16 PM

Dear council members,

My family lived in Foster City for almost 10 years and we love the city! It is the best in the Bay Area, and we are very proud of it. We knew a lot of people would love to move to Foster City if they can. People love Foster City because it is safe, clean, with good schools and has convenient transportation options.

Now the new housing plan could tip the boat and turn the whole thing the other way around. Here is why:

- Horrible Traffic: Foster City is already facing horrible traffic jams in all directions due to the dense population in the region and the lacking of new traffic control infrastructures.
- Schools are full: The elementary schools in Foster City already reach their limit and some local students have to travel a long distance to other much further away schools.

I believe Foster City should focus on solving the school problem and traffic problem before considering any new housing project. Otherwise, it is a bad thing to all residents, including the new comers to Foster City. Given a large number of stressed out drivers stuck near Foster City, it would be vey hard to keep the calm, safe and cleanness of the city any more.

Please reject any such housing plans. Instead, we should encourage and promote smart city plans(smart signal control, traffic prediction), clean energy plans (electric car lanes, public rechargers), new infrastructure plan for better traffic control, and program to increase the quality of schools. It would also help a 'lot', if Foster City could have its own high school, so that students do not need to commute long distance, which is both time wasting and making the traffic problem even worse.

Thank you very much for your consideration! My God bless Foster City!

--
James Wu

DeepMap, Inc.

Co-Founder & CEO

1121 San Antonio Road, Suite B100, Palo Alto, CA 94303

P: 650-678-4580

jameswu@deepmap.ai | http://deepmap.ai

From:	James Wu
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:33:16 PM

Dear council members,

My family lived in Foster City for almost 10 years and we love the city! It is the best in the Bay Area, and we are very proud of it. We knew a lot of people would love to move to Foster City if they can. People love Foster City because it is safe, clean, with good schools and has convenient transportation options.

Now the new housing plan could tip the boat and turn the whole thing the other way around. Here is why:

- Horrible Traffic: Foster City is already facing horrible traffic jams in all directions due to the dense population in the region and the lacking of new traffic control infrastructures.
- Schools are full: The elementary schools in Foster City already reach their limit and some local students have to travel a long distance to other much further away schools.

I believe Foster City should focus on solving the school problem and traffic problem before considering any new housing project. Otherwise, it is a bad thing to all residents, including the new comers to Foster City. Given a large number of stressed out drivers stuck near Foster City, it would be vey hard to keep the calm, safe and cleanness of the city any more.

Please reject any such housing plans. Instead, we should encourage and promote smart city plans(smart signal control, traffic prediction), clean energy plans (electric car lanes, public rechargers), new infrastructure plan for better traffic control, and program to increase the quality of schools. It would also help a 'lot', if Foster City could have its own high school, so that students do not need to commute long distance, which is both time wasting and making the traffic problem even worse.

Thank you very much for your consideration! My God bless Foster City!

--
James Wu

DeepMap, Inc.

Co-Founder & CEO

1121 San Antonio Road, Suite B100, Palo Alto, CA 94303

P: 650-678-4580

jameswu@deepmap.ai | http://deepmap.ai

From:	jin zuo
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposing Sares Regis"s resubmitting of request to add 68 Townhomes in Foster City
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:16:32 PM

Hi there,

I am writing this email to oppose what Sares Regis's resubmission of request to add 68 Townhomes in Foster City **at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C, for "NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!".**

Some of the reasons Foster City Residents for Responsible Development oppose the proposed change:

- **Because 730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area.** See map at: http://tinyurl.com/fcrrd2 Adding more housing there will increase density, not lower it. Retaining the office-retail will break up the housing density.
- **This is classic Bait & Switch.** Have approval for office and retail space, and then switch to the more lucrative residential housing.
- **Traffic study information is old and understated.** Sares Regis relies on a 2014 "preliminary" traffic analysis. That traffic study was not accurate and was discredited. This traffic study does **NOT** take into account the substantial impacts of the nearby Triton Pointe or Waverly projects, yet to be completed. The developer proposes that this additional development will result in less traffic.
- **The new 68 townhomes are projected to generate 19 students.** This is in addition to the rest of the Pilgrim-Triton Master Plan developments with 145 new students. The School District wrote to both the City of Foster City and the developer on March 12, 2015: "Currently the SMFCSD is experiencing enrollment growth and the schools in Foster City have reached capacity. We cannot confirm enrollment in a Foster City school, only a school within the SMFCSD." Elementary students are already being "*overflowed*" out of Foster City into San Mateo.
- **If children are not able to attend Foster City Schools** they would be driven in private vehicles for 2 round trips a day into San Mateo schools – drop off and then pick up. (3 round trips if there is a kindergartener and school-aged sibling).
- **These office-retail spaces were intended to provide more places to work, shop and eat.**
- These office-retail spaces were to offer more choices for residents as well as non-residents, all bringing tax dollars into Foster City**. And** the commercial parking garage was to serve as parking for the local residents at night.
- **If the City does not approve of the change, Sares Regis may decide NOT to build the office-retail space and the parking structure.** It may be postponed, or abandoned all together. But this is not an either-or choice. Even if the developer decides to build the office-retail and parking structure, the City can control the building heights and details of the design.
- **The current City Council has consistently denied approval of additional housing** proposed by other developers, i.e. Edgewater Shopping Plaza and Marina Housing Project. Any sign that the Council might approve this proposal will set the stage for other developers to propose and insist on similar changes.

This new request by Sares Regis is virtually identical to the Sares Regis request of 2015, so the summary of the reasons the proposal should have been rejected by the City Council in 2015 will still apply. This summary can be accessed at: http://cushmansite.com/cgi/master_reasons.pdf

Best regards,

Jin

From:	jin zuo
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Opposing Sares Regis"s resubmitting of request to add 68 Townhomes in Foster City
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:16:32 PM

Hi there,

I am writing this email to oppose what Sares Regis's resubmission of request to add 68 Townhomes in Foster City **at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C, for "NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!".**

Some of the reasons Foster City Residents for Responsible Development oppose the proposed change:

- **Because 730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area.** See map at: http://tinyurl.com/fcrrd2 Adding more housing there will increase density, not lower it. Retaining the office-retail will break up the housing density.
- **This is classic Bait & Switch.** Have approval for office and retail space, and then switch to the more lucrative residential housing.
- **Traffic study information is old and understated.** Sares Regis relies on a 2014 "preliminary" traffic analysis. That traffic study was not accurate and was discredited. This traffic study does **NOT** take into account the substantial impacts of the nearby Triton Pointe or Waverly projects, yet to be completed. The developer proposes that this additional development will result in less traffic.
- **The new 68 townhomes are projected to generate 19 students.** This is in addition to the rest of the Pilgrim-Triton Master Plan developments with 145 new students. The School District wrote to both the City of Foster City and the developer on March 12, 2015: "Currently the SMFCSD is experiencing enrollment growth and the schools in Foster City have reached capacity. We cannot confirm enrollment in a Foster City school, only a school within the SMFCSD." Elementary students are already being "*overflowed*" out of Foster City into San Mateo.
- **If children are not able to attend Foster City Schools** they would be driven in private vehicles for 2 round trips a day into San Mateo schools – drop off and then pick up. (3 round trips if there is a kindergartener and school-aged sibling).
- **These office-retail spaces were intended to provide more places to work, shop and eat.**
- These office-retail spaces were to offer more choices for residents as well as non-residents, all bringing tax dollars into Foster City**. And** the commercial parking garage was to serve as parking for the local residents at night.
- **If the City does not approve of the change, Sares Regis may decide NOT to build the office-retail space and the parking structure.** It may be postponed, or abandoned all together. But this is not an either-or choice. Even if the developer decides to build the office-retail and parking structure, the City can control the building heights and details of the design.
- **The current City Council has consistently denied approval of additional housing** proposed by other developers, i.e. Edgewater Shopping Plaza and Marina Housing Project. Any sign that the Council might approve this proposal will set the stage for other developers to propose and insist on similar changes.

This new request by Sares Regis is virtually identical to the Sares Regis request of 2015, so the summary of the reasons the proposal should have been rejected by the City Council in 2015 will still apply. This summary can be accessed at: http://cushmansite.com/cgi/master_reasons.pdf

Best regards,

Jin

From:	Grant Casinger
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Bait and switch
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:15:23 PM

Here we go again?????
Criminal developers. Now they want to make more obscene development; trash the retail and make residential? Loosing faith in you guys. LISTEN TO THE PROPLE YOU SERVE!!!!

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Grant Casinger
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Bait and switch
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:15:23 PM

Here we go again?????
Criminal developers. Now they want to make more obscene development; trash the retail and make residential? Loosing faith in you guys. LISTEN TO THE PROPLE YOU SERVE!!!!

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Marilyn Monte
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	NO MORE HOUSING
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 3:03:40 PM

FC Council Members,
Please stop the madness ... No More. If Sares Regis threatens to not build retail space and a public parking structure as promised without additional housing then so be it. Hold him out till it hits home in his pockets. This property is far to valuable to sit vacant.
Please, please, please ... do not allow any additional housing at least until we can see what the impact on our city will be after ALL the projects are completed.

Concerned long time residents,
Mike and Marilyn Monte

From: kingmoe9@comcast.net
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: No More New Housing
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 2:58:24 PM

Dear City Council Members,

Foster City is already over built.

Please do not approve any more housing as our streets are already way to congested. Its practically impossible to enter or exit Foster City especially during commute hours that seem to last almost all day. Our grade schools are to crowed, they want to build another school but where. We have no high school in Foster City and that will mean transporting even more students to San Mateo or Burlingame. Emergency vehicle can not pass as traffic is almost always at stand still. This is caused by bottle necks at Hillsdale, Norfolk and Saratoga in San Mateo and the 101 exits to and from Foster City at Hillsdale which also gets blocked by 101 traffic merging on to Highway 92 and towards the San Mateo Bridge. Highway 92 gets backed up in both directions because of the bridge.

Foster City is already built up, we do need more people or any new developments adding to traffic. The cost is to high in quality of life for the whole city.

No more new housing!

Sincerely,

Gerard Morino, resident of 45 years

From:	ethan a
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 2:36:09 PM

To whom it may concern. I urge you to vote no on the proposal to build more housing units in Foster City. There should be no more new housing units until we know the effects of what is currently being constructed.

Thanks,
Ethan Allison
1231 Schooner St
Foster City, CA 94440

From:	Victor Woo
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Halt New Development Proposals
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 2:10:11 PM

Council Members,

I encourage you to support a moratorium on all new residential building proposals until such time we complete those already under construction. Quality of life here in Foster City is at stake.

Victor Woo
504 Morro Ct.
Foster City, Ca

From:	sjhoo9@comcast.net
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	high density housing
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 2:06:18 PM

Please no more approved high-density housing. The traffic and Schools are critical problems, among others.

From:	Kelley Evans
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Please take a Breather on New Housing!
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 2:05:07 PM

Dear City Counsel,

I learned that Sares Regis is coming before the City Counsel **again** on August 1st to discuss building more units here in Foster City.

Getting in and out of FC during high traffic hours is getting increasingly difficult, especially during the school year! Ugh!

Since over 700 units have been approved for building here in FC already, please take a 'breather' from approving any more units, until we can see how traffic flow can be improved, or have a better understanding of the real traffic impact to our city.

Our family of 5 would attend the meeting scheduled for August 1 to voice our opinions, but we have a prior commitment and will be unable to attend.

We are asking that you again say 'no' or 'wait' to Sares Regis.

Thank you, Kelley Evans
708 Hydra Lane, Foster City

From: Cathy Johnson
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Stop Further Housing Projects!!!!!!!
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 1:38:25 PM

Dear Council Members:

I have been a Foster City resident since approximately 1982. I own a townhouse in Shell Cove and have lived there since the mid 1980's.
I chose Foster City for many reasons - quality of life, a well-planned community, good schools, etc. But one of the prime factors in my decision to buy a home here, was the wonderful location relative to access to San Francisco, the east bay and San Jose. I worked for a major utility company which had locations in all three sites. Foster City afforded me easy access to all of these locations.
Now in 2016 that easy access has been significantly curtailed. It was customary to be able to get to 101 within 5 minutes of leaving my home anytime of day. Now that 5 minutes has become upwards of 30 minutes and if I am unfortunate enough to be coming from the Hillsdale Mall/El Camino, it can take an hour during prime afternoon commute time (which now starts at 3:00 and runs till after 7:00). If I am unfortunate enough to be driving on 101 from Palo Alto, or other points south, the back-up to exit onto Hillsdale Boulevard often extends to Ralston.
No effort has been made to modify Foster City's infrastructure to accommodate the massive building projects we are now seeing inl many parts of the city. I shudder to think of the traffic snarls which will accompany full occupancy of all of these buildings.

It is time to halt any further building in Foster City until some remedy to this situation is in place. Please do not approve any new projects or revisited projects until you have a solid plan in place to handle both the traffic and the demands on our utilities, schools and quality of life. It would be a breach of civic responsibility to the citizens of Foster City to do otherwise.

Frances Gaura

From:	Herb Perez
To:	William Fried
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Pilgrim-Triton
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 1:36:20 PM

Thank you for your input. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 24, 2016, at 1:17 PM, William Fried <recreationalconcepts@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Dear Council Members:
>
> I and virtually all of the neighbors on my block are strongly opposed to building 68 town-homes at Pilgrim-Triton. Sares Regis originally submitted a proposal for retail and office space, and now they are executing a classic bait-and-switch.
>
> Please bring a temporary halt to further residential development until Foster City has had a chance to assess the effects from all the recent development. Our schools are maxed out; the traffic problem in our city is becoming a nightmare; and because of the increased population in our town, the Foster City exit off of 101 has become a choke point for traffic on that highway.
>
> Foster City is overbuilt. If the council attempts to approve more retail construction, it will encounter an ever-increasingly hostile citizenry. Most of us believe that Foster City is over-developed. Please let us enjoy this wonderful town in relative peace.
>
> If the City Council attempts to proceed with this project, the citizenry will mobilize and swarm the council hall - and we will continue to do so again and again until you start to heed the desires of your electorate.
>
> When the Edgewater Place redevelopment project was placed before the council, we mobilized thousands of citizens in opposition to the project - 20-25% of the entire population, as I recall. Frankly, this is a case of "same shit, different day." Please listen carefully to the folks who elected you to office.
>
> Kindest regards,
>
> William I. Fried
> 1031 Monterey Avenue

From:	George Zatulovsky
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Plan for MORE NEW HOUSING in Foster City
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 12:54:17 PM
Importance:	High

We **strongly oppose** Sares Regis request to add Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton as well as any other future development plans.
Foster City is already overcrowded as it is and traffic is becoming intolerable.
Members of the City Council should be more concerned about the well-being of their constituents rather than profits of the greedy developers.

George and Inna Zatulovsky
946 Aruba Lane
Foster City

From:	Joyce Robbins
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	New construction
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 12:47:16 PM

I have been a home owner in Foster City for 41 years. The traffic is HORRIBLE now during rush hour. How can you even think about new construction without adding lanes to make it more accessible to travel in or out? Stop all new construction until you make the existing roads able to handle the traffic.
Joyce

From:	Sam Hindi
To:	Sandie Kay
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Sares Regis re-application for additional housing units
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 12:31:47 PM

Dears Dennis and Sandra,

Thank you for your valuable input.

Sam Hindi
Councilmember
City Of Foster City

On Jul 24, 2016, at 11:52 AM, Sandie Kay <sgkay@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Dear Foster City Council Members,
>
> We are very upset to find that the developer, Sares Regis, is trying yet again to get past the planning commission and City Council their additional housing units at Pilgrim-Triton. It must be defeated again! We won't know the impact of the current housing units just released and still under construction- what impact they will have on our quality of life; traffic, infrastructure, schools, police, fire, etc., for several years. It is irresponsible for the council to entertain any further housing approvals until they and we citizens know and understand what the current developments will bring. It seems obvious to me and my neighbors that Sares Regis is resubmitting because they feel the "new" council will be more apt to grant their request. Next will come the developer for the failed Edgewater application. Don't do it!!!! Vote no on any further housing developments.
>
> Dennis and Sandra Kay, residents and home owners since 1974.
>
> Sandie Kay sgkay@yahoo.com

From:	wjouyang@yahoo.com
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	New housing development in Foster City
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 12:20:48 PM

Dear council members,

As a resident living in Foster City, I am extremely concerned by the recent housing development and the increased traffic congestion in our city, which clearly damaged our living environment and standard. I, therefore, oppose any new housing developmental proposal until full analysis of its future impact on our environment and traffic situation. Please stop the developer from moving forward.
Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Wenjun Ouyang

From:	MIdge MYers
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Seres - Regis Housing Request
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 12:17:39 PM

Please, please vote against the request for more housing. We have so much under construction going on in the city right now, that business parking and congestion are already crowding our small community.

Thank you for noting my opinion on this matter.

SIncerely,

Marjorie (Midge) Myers
1035 Grebe St.
Foster City CA
midgemyers@juno.com

From:	Cy"s
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	New housing
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 12:17:03 PM

Hello ..
Dense housing have erupted in Foster City in such a short period of time. It now feels congested. Traffic had gone bad. Groceries are crowded anytime of the day. I'm sure schools are going to be crowded too. It will be an injustice for the Foster City children not to be able to take advantage of the award winning FC schools due to congestion. It's not the small city feel anymore that first attracted us to settle in Foster City. Hence..PLEASE..NO MORE ADDITIONAL HOUSING...
Thank you very much!

Cyril Abiog
1098 Flying Fish Street
Resident since 1992

From:	Silvana Garetz
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Halt any more housing development projects
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 12:16:45 PM

I, as aa long-time resident of Foster City, am appalled at the recent attempt by Sares to obtain approval for 68 townhomes at the Triton-Pilgrim intersection.

We are already feeling the impact in traffic due to the increase in residents in Foster City, especially during peak hours when it is a nightmare to drive on 101 towards FC exit and/or down Hillsdale Blvd. toward FC.

It would be totally irresponsable of this City Council to approve any more housing construction in FC until we realistically know what the impact will be in our quality of life.

I am a free-lance interpreter and have seen my ability to accept onsite interpreting assignments impacted by the traffic in and out of Foster City and on 101.

STOP this madness!!!

Respectfully,

Silvana M. Garetz, M.A. English<>Spanish California Certified Court Interpreter #301622
CCHI Certified Healthcare Interpreter #977
ATA, NCTA, CFI, NAJIT, CHIA
"Happiness is not a matter of intensity but of balance, order, rhythm and harmony."
— Thomas Merton

From: marilyn greig
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Opposed to Sares Regis 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 11:54:43 AM

To the Council Members,

Our family is deeply opposed to additional high density housing being built in Foster City at this time. We are retirees and getting around Foster City to shop is a nightmare. We are far from the Safeway Shopping Center and Lucky Market but try to walk anyway to avoid having to park in overcrowded parking lots. All of this daily auto traffic is making our lives miserable and not what we visualized when we moved to Foster City in 1977. All of the local schools are overcrowded and the children have little chance of getting a decent education. Our next-door neighbors, who have two children, have sold their home and moved to a more rural area for a better quality of life for their kids. Foster City used to be one of the best places to live in the Bay Area but not anymore.

Please record our opposition to this plan!

Michael and Marilyn Greig
Foster City Residents

From:	Cheryl Steeper
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more housing!
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 11:54:27 AM

I live near the Pilgrim Triton developments. There are already too many new housing units in this area. Traffic is significantly higher now and many of the units haven't even been occupied yet. We don't need more housing. Please vote NO for the Sares Regis request for 68 townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C.

Thank You!

Cheryl Steeper
193 Flying Cloud Isle
Foster City
650-571-0836

From: Michael Harris
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Sares Regis
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 11:40:10 AM

- The following is better written than I could do. It does sum up my feelings. We do not need more housing. A few better restaurants would be very welcome. New Council members should consider heavily the prior turn down.

Michael Harris
255 Port Royal Avenue, Foster City

-
-
- **Because 730 housing units have already been approved in that small 20-acre area.** See map at: http://tinyurl.com/fcrrd2 Adding more housing there will increase density, not lower it. Retaining the office-retail will break up the housing density.
- **This is classic Bait & Switch.** Have approval for office and retail space, and then switch to the more lucrative residential housing.
- **Traffic study information is old and understated.** Sares Regis relies on a 2014 "preliminary" traffic analysis. That traffic study was not accurate and was discredited. This traffic study does **NOT** take into account the substantial impacts of the nearby Triton Pointe or Waverly projects, yet to be completed. The developer proposes that this additional development will result in less traffic.
- **The new 68 townhomes are projected to generate 19 students.** This is in addition to the rest of the Pilgrim-Triton Master Plan developments with 145 new students. The School District wrote to both the City of Foster City and the developer on March 12, 2015: "Currently the SMFCSD is experiencing enrollment growth and the schools in Foster City have reached capacity. We cannot confirm enrollment in a Foster City school, only a school within the SMFCSD." Elementary students are already being "*overflowed*" out of Foster City into San Mateo.
- **If children are not able to attend Foster City Schools** they would be driven in private vehicles for 2 round trips a day into San Mateo schools – drop off and then pick up. (3 round trips if there is a kindergartener and school-aged sibling).
- **These office-retail spaces were intended to provide more places to work, shop and eat.**
- These office-retail spaces were to offer more choices for residents as well as non-residents, all bringing tax dollars into Foster City**. And** the commercial parking garage was to serve as parking for the local residents at night.
- **If the City does not approve of the change, Sares Regis may decide NOT to build the office-retail space and the parking structure.** It may be postponed, or abandoned all together. But this is not an either-or choice. Even

if the developer decides to build the office-retail and parking structure, the City can control the building heights and details of the design.

- **The current City Council has consistently denied approval of additional housing** proposed by other developers, i.e. Edgewater Shopping Plaza and Marina Housing Project. Any sign that the Council might approve this proposal will set the stage for other developers to propose and insist on similar changes.

From:	Kathie Asaro
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 11:13:06 AM

NO
NO
NO
NO

Kathie and Ben Asaro
Foster City, CA

From:	Shrirang Ranade
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Oppose new housing project
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 11:12:45 AM

Dear Council Members,

We oppose any additional high-density residential units until all previously approved construction projects are completed and we can evaluate their impact on Foster City's overcrowded schools, traffic congestion, and limited potable water resources.

Adverse Effects of High-Density Housing on Foster City:

1. Schools: Adds to our already overcrowded schools.

2. Traffic: Increases traffic congestion and safety concerns.

3. Water: Exacerbates our potable water shortage.

4. Quality of Life: Diminishes the charm that makes Foster City the envy of the Bay Area.

Thank you for your attention.

Shrirang Ranade
911 Clipper Lane
Foster city.

From:	Carolyn Freshour
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 11:12:34 AM

I strongly urge you to deny the Sares Regis request to construct 68 more units.

We still do not know the full impact of the occupancy of the many units still under construction here in Foster City.

I can speak to the already congestion not only on Hillsdale Boulevard but on the ramp from 101 to Hillsdale Boulevard. I work in Redwood City but only 7 miles from my home. I leave work at 4:30 pm and it most often takes 40 minutes to travel those 7 miles. I take the El Camino and City streets to avoid the congestion on 101. It often takes 10 minutes to get into Foster City from Hillsdale and Saratoga Avenues. I don't even want to think about how much longer this will take when the units already under construction are occupied. Although I do not have school age children, I feel for those residents who do and who might have to drive their children out of Foster City to San Mateo schools.

Again, please deny the request.

Carolyn Freshour
992 Cartier Lane

From:	Xiaolin Hao
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis is resubmitting their request to add 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 11:12:03 AM

Dear council members,

I am writing to express my concern about the housing development request by Sares Regis recently.

My major concern is that traffic from Peninsula to FC in the afternoon is a nightmare already: highway 92 is congested by the traffic to east bay so people have to take Hillsdale BLVD. It easily takes 30 minutes driving from San Mateo Caltran station/highway 101 north or south bounds/ to Edgewater BLVD after 5 pm in the weekdays. Until we have improved road structures, adding more housing will shut down the traffic into FC. **Traffic study information is old and understated.** Sares Regis relies on a 2014 "preliminary" traffic analysis. That traffic study was not accurate and was discredited. This traffic study does **NOT** take into account the substantial impacts of the nearby Triton Pointe or Waverly projects, yet to be completed. The developer proposes that this additional development will result in less traffic which is ridiculous.

Please help save this beautiful city in your hands.

Than you!

Xiaolin Hao

637 Sandy Hook Ct
FC, CA 94404
Tel: 650-3070662

From:	Norman Johnson
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Sares Regis
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 11:05:51 AM

I have lived in Foster City for over 40 years, and both my wife and I have seen the negative impact on our schools caused by the over building of residential properties. San Jose is a great example of what happens when you over build residential at the expense of commercial. Now, they are trying to get back in balance, but they created a mess for themselves.

The residents of Foster City have spoken out clearly, time and time again, that we do not want anymore residential building until we have fully assessed the impact of all the other projects that have already been approved. Clearly Foster City has been overbuilt already.

It is obvious that governments, up and down the Bay Area, have been more concerned with revenue than in the quality of life. Our roads are a mess. If we don't want to end up like a Hong Kong we have got to stop this insanity.

Tell Sares Regis that we are not interested in revisiting their request to build more townhomes.

Sincerely,

Norm Johnson

From:	David Berryman
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Enough!
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:44:49 AM

Mr. Mayor and Ladies & Gentlemen of the City Council,

Please; not one more new unit of housing in this city, and please ensure that no school is built at Charter Square.

Enough is enough.

David Berryman
116 Flying Cloud Isle
(650) 291-1180

From:	Herb Perez
To:	kim.chun@comcast.net
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Oppse Sares Regis development
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:44:27 AM

Thank you for your input. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 24, 2016, at 9:58 AM, "kim.chun@comcast.net" <kim.chun@comcast.net> wrote:

> To the Foster City council,
>
> As a concerned Foster City resident, I strongly oppose to the proposition of development from Sares Regis.
> We need to halt any further developments. Foster City is already over crowded. The schools are impacted and the roads are congested.
> We need to address the issues of overcrowding of our schools before any considerations of more housing being built !!!
>
> Kim C. Chun

From:	Herb Perez
To:	Rich Marchasim
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Unacceptable redevelopment
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:44:07 AM

Thank you for your input. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez
Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 24, 2016, at 9:59 AM, Rich Marchasim <marchasin@gmail.com> wrote:

To whom it may concern,

I feel I have to voice my opinion in this matter. I live in Foster City for the last 14 years and I am very happy with the small city we have. As you know there have been two or three very large condo development complexes that have come into our neighborhood in the last couple of years. There is one being developed right now on Foster City Blvd. and Hillsdale and the large one next to the jcc. I think it is completely unacceptable that another one should be allowed into our small neighborhood. If they wanted to redevelop that complex with nicer and different shops I would be all for it. We would lose our favorite grocery store and all the small shops that we have come to value over the years. We have built a Foster City so much that now they have to change the timing of the lights because they're already too many people in this town. It's not talk about the fact that our schools are too small as well. I thank you for reading my email I just felt as a single resident Foster City I had to voice my Opinion.

Sincerely,

Rich Marchasin

From:	Herb Perez
To:	Frieda Genauer
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Housing
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:43:49 AM

Thank you for your input. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 24, 2016, at 10:03 AM, Frieda Genauer <fgen001@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> Please! No new housing. Congestion on hills dale Blvd is already impossible! I am a 20 year resident and the quality of life here has become worse in the last 3 years.
>
> Sent from my iPhone

From:	Herb Perez
To:	Shari Williams
Cc:	Foster City City Council; phyllisjanemoore@att.net
Subject:	Re: Outlandish growth in Foster City
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:43:40 AM

Thank you for your email. While it lacked accuracy and veracity it was at least passionate.

Thank you for your input. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 24, 2016, at 10:07 AM, Shari Williams <shari.williams708@att.net> wrote:
>
> Greed and avarice have overtaken the goals of our City Council and City Planners (if we really have city planners), totally sabotaging the quality of life originally intended by T. Jack Foster. The City's allowance of developers to over develop minimal spaces, totally ignoring what it has already done to our 40 year old traffic lanes is unconscionable.
>
> The lack of consideration being given to our resident's needs for retail stores, grocery shopping and good restaurants leaves those of us who have lived here for many years resentful and angry about the lack of logical thinking on the part of city government.
>
> I would think you're reaping sufficient monetary growth from the taxes being generated by the influx of corporate organizations to restrict residential growth going forward for the sake of quality of life for the current tesidents.
>
> ENOUGH ALREADY!!!
>
> Sent from my iPad

From:	Herb Perez
To:	Sharon1912@comcast.net
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Opposition to Sares Regis to add 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:42:33 AM

Thank you for your input. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez
Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 24, 2016, at 10:24 AM, Sharon1912@comcast.net
<sharon1912@comcast.net> wrote:

> To Foster City Council members,
>
> I strongly oppose the Sares Regis request noted below:
>
> Sares Regis request to add
> 68 Townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton, Phase C
>
> NO MORE NEW HOUSING UNTIL WE KNOW THE EFFECTS OF
> WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING CONSTRUCTED!!
>
> Our schools and traffic cannot withstand further housing.
>
> Thank you for voting to deny this proposal.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Sharon Schwartz
>
> 725 Celestial Lane
> Foster City
> Home: 650-349-4191
> Sharon1912@comcast.net
>
> Sent from Xfinity Mobile App

From:	Herb Perez
To:	John Herbuveaux
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Sares Regis Project
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:42:21 AM

Thank you for your input. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 24, 2016, at 10:27 AM, John Herbuveaux <johnbinthecity@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Dear Council Members:
>
> Please do not approve additional units to the Sares Regis project at this time. More time is needed to assess the impact of housing units already approved by the City Council before more projects move forward. Our community has become increasingly more congested in recent years and the Foster Square complex has yet to make its presence felt. My wife and I urge you to delay a decision on more housing.
>
> Thank you for your consideration.
>
> John Herbuveaux

From:	Herb Perez
To:	Jean Grant
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: No more new housing in Foster City
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:42:04 AM

Thank you for your input. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez from my iPhone

> On Jul 24, 2016, at 10:41 AM, Jean Grant <jean281@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> City Council of Foster City,
>
> I am sending this email to protest any additional large housing developments in
> our community of Foster City, enough is enough! In 37 years I have watched
> the growth, most of it good. I am now watching the overwhelming, over done
> building. All of this will effect our schools and driving in and out of Foster City.
>
> My question is; why would Sares Regis be coming back to reinstate their
> original proposal from 5/15 when it was already settled on?
>
> PLEASE, no more units in Foster City, the driving is becoming unbearable and
> our schools are bursting!
>
> Your consideration is appreciated,
> Jean M Grant
> 281 Loon Ct
> Foster City
>
> Sent from my iPad

From:	Herb Perez
To:	Joyce Pilgrim
Cc:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Re: Pilgrim-Triton Project
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:41:46 AM

Thank you for your input. Please attend the upcoming meeting to learn more about the project.
Mayor Perez

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 24, 2016, at 10:27 AM, Joyce Pilgrim <jpilgrim@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
>
> Council Members,
>
> Please, no more housing until the effects of the current housing is determined. We are already experiencing traffic congestion, school overcrowding and a taxed infrastructure and more housing will further the problems.
>
> Thank you for your consideration,
>
> Joyce Pilgrim
> 845 Castor Street
> Foster City, CA

From:	Grace Huang
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	ICE KENNETH KOTSUR
Subject:	Reject Sares Regis proposal
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:23:15 AM

We oppose the Sares Regis proposal to substitute townhomes for previously approved office-retail space at Triton, Phase C. The developer's promotional material overstates the expected benefits and understates or omits the negative consequences of the proposal.

We oppose any additional high-density residential units until all previously approved construction projects are completed and we can evaluate their impact on Foster City's overcrowded schools, traffic congestion, and limited potable water resources.

Grace Huang
Foster City, CA 94404

email: gracehuangkotsur@yahoo.com
phone: 626.689.3131

From:	jerryt94404@aol.com
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Saris Regis
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 10:08:51 AM

Dear Members of the City Council;

I joined many of my neighbors to demonstrate to last year's City Council that residents don't want to see any more housing or business approvals until the impact on traffic, water, classrooms and other quality of life and infrastructure issues of the already approved projects become known.

We will be watching to see how you deal with this huge outswelling of resident feelings, in regard to the Saris Regis housing application.

Please vote No on this application for more housing.

Best regards,

Jerry Terstiege
Promontory Point

From:	David Cook
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more housing projects
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:56:52 AM

Please, do not pass for more housing projects. The schools and traffic are already overwhelmed by the projects that are built and will get worse when the new projects open up. Instead of housing, we need another school built.

Kathleen Cook
Resident for 41 years

Sent from my iPad

From:	Rost Gofman
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Don"t approve any more housing!
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:52:15 AM

To the Foster City Council,

Please do not approve any more additional housing for Foster City. We are over loaded with the new housing and the traffic situation is getting worse by the day!

Rost Gofman
617 Waterbury Lane
Resident of FC since 1993.

SFMIP6

From:	Renee Jadushlever
To:	Foster City City Council
Cc:	Renee Jadushlever
Subject:	Saris Regis Proposal
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:46:54 AM

7/24/16

I am not in favor of the City Council considering the Saris Regis proposal. Traffic impacts, school overcrowding are among the reasons. In addition, we do not yet understand the impacts of recently developed properties. Significant housing has been constructed (behind Chevron) and elsewhere. We need to know that these units will be rented snd determine those impacts before we undertake additional building and development in a city that is already heavily populated and land poor.

Will an EIR be conducted, traffic studies, seismic studies, soil studies, etc.?

The last time the Edgewater Plaza development was put on hold, the owner promised that the boardwalk would be replaced and improvements made. This has not happened and the boardwalk behind the stores is a real hazard.

This proposal was already put on hold -- why is it resurfacing?

I am not in favor of considering this.

Renee Jadushlever
519 Pitcairn Drive
Foster City

--
Renee Jadushlever
Chief of Staff and Vice President for Communications
and External Relations
Mills College
5000 MacArthur Blvd.
Oakland, CA 94613
reneejad@mills.edu
510-430-2033 (office)
510-430-2256 (fax)

From:	Eva Hess
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Additional Development Proposal
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:43:37 AM

Regarding the new proposal to be taken up at Aug. 1st council meeting. This is to inform you that I vehemently oppose the latest proposal for adding additional housing units.
Signed,
Eva Hess
Foster City Resident

Sent from my iPhone

From: jidp@earthlink.net
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Additional residential housing
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:43:15 AM

We think that all requests for additional residential housing in Foster City should be denied until current construction has been completed and school and traffic problems have been resolved. There is no urgency to add housing. If appropriate, it can always be done later.

John and Joyce Dick=Peddie
306 Sailfish Isle

From: Gene Murphy
To: Foster City City Council
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:41:40 AM

Please take a step back from all this construction. This is changing our way of life in Fostercity and there is no need for it. We are choking from all the traffic . Please vote down this proposal. Thanks. Gene Murphy A resident of Fostercity for 28 years

Get Outlook for iOS

From: akhila.aiyer@yahoo.com
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Additional housing development in Foster City
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:39:21 AM

Hi,

As a Foster City resident, a homeowner and mother of two children that attend Foster city schools, I wish to express my strong opposition to any additional housing development projects in Foster City. It takes me 30 min. to just get in and out of Foster City for my work commute, my kid's schools are overcrowded. There is already a lot of new housing that is slated to become available shortly which is likely to aggravate the already bad situation and decrease the quality of my life in Foster City.

Regards,
Akhila Aiyer

Akhila Aiyer Ph:678-463-5740

From: cstar090900@aol.com
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: More Housing!
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:38:01 AM

No More Housing! Foster City is busting at the seams. The newly built monstrosities are not even rented out or sold yet and traffic is horrible. Getting in or out of the city during normal commute hours is a nightmare. The other day I approached Foster City from Hillsdale Shopping Center on Hillsdale Boulevard at about 4:30pm. It took me 30 minutes! I feel confined to my house from 8am to 11am and then again from 4pm to 7:30pm. Stop this insane building! Even parking at Safeway and CVS is impossible at times during the day. I won't even touch on emergency vehicle access.
Coral Starobin, 960 Diaz Lane, Foster City, Ca. 94404. Thank you for attention to this important matter. Don't rubber stamp any new housing.

Sent from my iPhone

From:	sjprack
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Pilgrim-Triton
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:26:31 AM

NO MORE NEW HOUSING !!!!!!!!!!!

Earl & Joan Prack

Happy Connecting. Sent from my Sprint Samsung Galaxy S® 5

From:	June Ong
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	New Housing Development proposed
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:18:50 AM

No more new housing developments unless you have a detailed professional evaluation...

we are already unable to get out of Foster City with all the traffic!!!

June Ong

From:	Pat Celaya
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Building in Foster City
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:18:10 AM

Please do not allow any more building in Foster City. Don't allow those 68 town homes to be built. Foster City is already overcrowded with building. Our little city is being ruined by all this building. Traffic is becoming a nightmare. Everything is overcrowded from the markets to the gas stations. Stop all this building. Thank you.

Pat Celaya (23-year home owner/resident)
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mark Carpenter
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	No more housing
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:13:50 AM

We have lived in Foster City since 1992 . please stop any new projects until we know how much more grueling the traffic will be when the current projects are done . Foster City has grid lock trying to get back after work hours . I work two exists away and it takes me an hour to get home. Kathy works at Stanford and it routinely takes and hour and a half . When we moved here , my exact same commute was 10 -15 minutes . Clearly this cannot be what we are counting on the people we elected to do for us . It us time to start protecting the people who already live here , and to spend less time worrying about people who want to move here . Mark and Kathy Carpenter - 650-576-6752
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Randy Pilgrim
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Pilgrim - Triton
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:12:56 AM

Dear Council Members:

I'm writing again today to voice my objection to the request for additional housing to the Pilgrim – Triton Project as requested by Saris Regis.

My reasons for the objections have remained unchanged, traffic congestion, school overcrowding, and the overall burden on Foster City's infrastructure.

Thank you for your consideration.

Randy Pilgrim
845 Castor St.
Foster City

From: Julia Bauer
To: Foster City City Council
Subject: Opposing Additional Building in FC - August 1 City Council Meeting
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:08:44 AM

Hello,

I respectfully submit this message to indicate my strong opposition to any ongoing building (both residential and commercial, but particularly residential.). I understand this is scheduled to be discussed at the August 1, 2016 City Council Meeting.

I bought my home in Foster City over 20 years ago because of the quality of life and proximity to the Bay, Bay Trail, and relief from the noise and crowding of the Peninsula. Over the last few years I've been appalled by the number of GIANT apartment complexes that have been built all throughout Foster City. The traffic has become ridiculous - it now takes me 30 minutes to drive from my home on Staysail Court to my office near Whole Foods at 8:30 am on a weekday morning. Just three years ago that drive took me 10 minutes. I am also aware of critical over crowding of Foster City schools and the need for our children to be transported to San Mateo to address this issue.

I am STRONGLY opposed to considering any additional housing in Foster City until after all of the current building has been completed, and the population, school, and traffic impacts can be assessed. I understand that Foster City has already vastly exceeded the maximum number of houses/residents in the original plan. I believe that our infrastructure is already over-taxed and we should NOT add or approve any more housing until our infrastructure issues are addressed and satisfactorily resolved.

I will attend the August 1 Council meeting to show my opposition in person. Thank you in advance for your attention to this request. Feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Best,
Julia

_____
Julia Bauer
juliab725@gmail.com

From:	James Mittler II
To:	Foster City City Council
Subject:	Regis proposal to add additional townhomes at Pilgrim-Triton
Date:	Sunday, July 24, 2016 9:00:39 AM

Council members,

The recent explosion of growth in the Bay and Foster City has begun to cripple our infrastructure. I would encourage you to try driving 101 from Ralston to Hillsdale at 5:30pm on any weekday. There are so many people trying to get home to Foster City it makes for a one half hour trip to go one exit.

The decisions to keep adding residential units without regard to traffic or other key services is already manifesting. Approving more housing will only compound the problem.

We want our Foster City small businesses to be successful. How can we accomplish this if there is no practical method for ingress? We are simply choking ourselves.

Jim Mittler
643 Greenwich Ln

From:	Herb Perez
To:	Bob Cushman
Cc:	Foster City City Council; Curtis Banks; Foster City City Manager"s Office
Subject:	Re: Sares Regis Proposal - City Council Meeting of August 1 2016
Date:	Friday, July 22, 2016 8:43:31 PM

Thank you for your input. Please attend the meeting as scheduled and encourage our residents to attend as we all do.

It is through conversation and listening that we can learn to understand the complexity of any issue.

While I have no opinion at the moment and am hopeful that through the dialectic and prudent discourse we can understand the matters being considered.

I have found extremist positions challenging and limiting without any foundation other than the emotive.

Our world is way to complex to be diminished to the emotive rather than the rationale based.
Mayor Perez

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 22, 2016, at 8:16 PM, Bob Cushman <boborsandy@aol.com> wrote:

> Members of the City Council:
>
> Please do not encourage Sares Regis to move forward with their proposal to swap out commercial/retail/parking for additional townhomes at Triton, Phase C.
>
> On behalf of the Foster City Residents for Responsible Development, I am sending you three (3) documents (attached) and ask that they be included in the public record and in the packets that will be distributed in support of the Meeting of the City Council, scheduled for 1 Aug, 2016: Preliminary Review Request for Triton, Phase C.
>
> The first document is a list of the names of 2,133 people who have signed our on-line petition as of Jul 21, 2016. The petition reads: “We oppose any additional high-density residential units until all previously approved construction projects are completed and we can evaluate their impact on Foster City’s overcrowded schools, traffic congestion, and limited potable water resources.” The file name is “Signatures(2133 as of 7-21-16).pdf"
>
> The second document presents the 596 comments made by people who

have signed the on-line petition. Note that 28% of the people who signed the online petition also left a comment. We encourage the staff and City Council members to read through these. Most are well-written, clear and to the point. The file name is “Comments(596 as of 7-21-16).pdf”

The third document explains the major reasons our group opposes the Sares Regis proposal. The .pdf file name is “Reasonsfcrrdopposes.pdf” Because the Sares Regis proposal is essential the same as the one they submitted in 2015, this is a duplicate of the prior submission from us, dated 11 May 2015.

Bob Cushman
On Behalf of
Foster City Residents for Responsible Development
602 Greenwich Lane
Foster City, CA 94404
boborsandy@aol.com<mailto:boborsandy@aol.com>
650-341-4309

<Signatures (2133 as of 7-21-16).pdf>

<Comments (596 as of 7-21-16).pdf>

<Reasonsfcrrdopposes.pdf>